Appendix D – Ecology Assessment

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

# aurecon Bringing ideas to life

## Wooreen BESS

### Ecology Assessment

#### EnergyAustralia

Reference: P511147 Revision: 3

2022-08-01

	1
This copied document to be made available	
for the sole purpose of enabling	
its consideration and review as	
part of a planning process under the	
Planning and Environment Act 1987.	
The document must not be used for any	
purpose which may breach any	
convright	

# **Document control record**

Document prepared by:

#### Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd

ABN 54 005 139 873 Aurecon Centre Level 8, 850 Collins Street Docklands, Melbourne VIC 3008 PO Box 23061 Docklands VIC 8012 Australia

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

**T** +61 3 9975 3000

**F** +61 3 9975 3444

E melbourne@aurecongroup.com

W aurecongroup.com

A person using Aurecon documents or data accepts the risk of:

- a) Using the documents or data in electronic form without requesting and checking them for accuracy against the original hard copy version.
- b) Using the documents or data for any purpose not agreed to in writing by Aurecon.

	Document control					aurecon
Repo	rt title	Ecology Assessment	Ecology Assessment			
Docu	ment code	Project number P511147				
File p	ath					
Clien	t	EnergyAustralia				
Clien	t contact	Michael Dasey	Client reference	Client reference 47		
Rev	Date	Revision details/status	Author	Reviewer	Verifier (if required)	Approver
0	2022-08-01	Final for submission	Rebecca Ffrost	Justin Sullivan	Justin Sullivan	Andries van der Merwe
Current revision 3						

Approval			
Author signature	But	Approver signature	Au.
Name	Justin Sullivan	Name	Andries van der Merwe
Title	Senior Ecologist	Title	Project Manager
	for the sole its conside	iment to be made available e purpose of enabling ration and review as	

its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

aurecon

Project number P511147 File Appendix D - Ecology.docx 2022-08-01 Revision 3

# Contents

Exec	utive Su		Т	
		mmendati	ons	The document must not be used for any
1		Introdu	ction	purpose which may breach <del>a</del> ny
-	1.1	Site Co	ontext	<u>convright</u> 7
	1.2	-	details	
	1.3	•	and purpose of this assessment	
	1.4	Limitati	ons	
2	2.1		lology p assessment	
		2.1.1 2.1.2	Likelihood of occurrence analysis for threatened the second secon	
	2.2	Field a	ssessment	
		2.2.1	Flora survey	
		2.2.2	Fauna survey	
3		Results	5	
	3.1	Databa	se review	14
	3.2	Ecolog	ical assessment	14
		3.2.1	Site description	14
		3.2.2	Native vegetation	
		3.2.3	Threatened ecological communities	
		3.2.4	Flora	
		3.2.5	Fauna	
4	4.4		ed impacts and implications	
	4.1		s to ecological values	
		4.1.1	WESS	
		4.1.2 4.1.3	Grid connection Access from adjoining roads	
	4.0			
	4.2		tions under relevant environmental legislation and p	•
		4.2.1	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conserv	
		4.2.2	Environment Effects Act 1978 Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988	
		4.2.3 4.2.4		
		4.2.4 4.2.5	Planning and Environment Act 1987 Wildlife Act 1975 and Wildlife Regulations 2002	
		4.2.6	Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994	
	4.3		e risk	
F	4.5			
5	5.1		ary and recommendationsary	
	5.2		mendations	
6			nces	

#### Tables

Table 1 Likelihood of occurrence criteria for threatened flora species	.12
Table 2 Likelihood of occurrence criteria for threatened and migratory fauna species	.12
Table 3 Details of patches of native vegetation recorded in the study area	.17
Table 4 Details of native trees recorded in the study area	.17
Table 5 Summary of Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) relevant to the search area	.24



#### **Figures**

Figure 1 Study area	8
Figure 2 Study area and ecological values	19
Figure 3 Indicative layout	23

#### Appendices

Appendix A: Permitted clearing assessment (the Guidelines)	32
Appendix B: Vegetation quality assessment results	33
Appendix C: Flora and fauna recorded in study area	34
Appendix D: Likelihood of occurrence analysis of threatened flora	36
Appendix E: Likelihood of occurrence analysis of threatened fauna	38
Appendix F: EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) Report	44
Appendix G: WESS concept design	55
Appendix H: Certificate of accredited VQA assessor	
Appendix I: WESS VQA Data sheets	57

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

## **Executive Summary**

Aurecon was commissioned by EnergyAustralia (the Proponent) to undertake an ecological assessment to inform the design and planning approvals for a proposed Wooreen Battery Energy Storage System (WESS) at their existing Jeeralang Power Station site near Morwell in the Latrobe Valley, eastern Victoria.

### Findings

The study area consists of three properties, each of which have been heavily altered for farming and/or energy generation, and as such lack extensive areas of native vegetation or significant ecological values. Extensive areas of planted vegetation exist within the study area, all of which was considered to be for the purpose of amenity and/or screening, and hence is exempt from permit consideration as native vegetation as defined under Clause 52.17-7 in the planning scheme.

Native vegetation was largely limited to small, disconnected patches that were heavily altered from their natural state. This included three narrow, treeless patches of Plains Grassy Woodland along the south of Bonds Lane, one large patch of treeless and heavily grazed Plains Grassy Woodland in the Ausnet property and multiple patches of treeless Swampy Riparian Woodland which occurred either side of a drainage line in the south west of the study area. Eight trees defined as native vegetation subject to Victoria's *Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation* (the Guidelines) were recorded in the study area, including seven scattered trees (five small and two large) and one large tree in a patch. Of these, two trees on the eastern side of Tramway Road were identified as Yarra Gum, which is listed as critically endangered on the *Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988* (FFG Act).

No threatened species listed under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) were recorded in the study area and none were considered to have a moderate or high likelihood of occurrence. One EPBC Act listed ecological community, the Gippsland Red Gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis subsp. mediana*) Grassy Woodland and Associated Native Grassland, was considered to have the potential to occur in one location in the study area (Habitat Zone D). The high grazing pressure from kangaroos in this area has meant the presence of this community was not able to be confirmed during the site inspection. Given the likelihood of continued grazing pressure by kangaroos in this location, it is recommended that the project adopts the precautionary approach and avoids any impacts to this area. Based on the concept design, no works or infrastructure are proposed in this area. Therefore, no EPBC Act listed communities are subject to any significant impacts from the Project and a referral is therefore not required.

Four flora species listed as threatened under the FFG Act were recorded in the study area. Three were planted and not indigenous to the study area and therefore are not considered as threatened for this assessment. The only native threatened flora species recorded was Yarra Gum, which was limited to the two individuals east of Tramway Road. Two additional flora species listed as protected under the FFG Act were recorded on public land in the Bonds Lane road reserve, namely Black Wattle and Hop Wattle. No threatened fauna or ecological communities listed under the FFG Act were recorded in the study area and none were considered to have a moderate or high likelihood of occurrence.

Following the ecological field assessment and draft report, EnergyAustralia have adopted initial recommendations and made refinements to the project design to avoid impacts to native vegetation. The proposed footprint for the WESS and associated grid connection infrastructure has now been situated to avoid impacts to native vegetation. While all native vegetation in the study area will be avoided, the development of the grid connection will result in the removal of a small area of planted vegetation. This vegetation has been planted for amenity/screening purposes and does not meet the definition of native vegetation in accordance with the Guidelines. Though this vegetation would provide habitat for common fauna, its removal would not result in any impacts to listed threatened species or communities.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Implications

Based on the project footprint, there are no implications for the Project under the EPBC Act or FFG Act and the Project will not trigger a referral under the *Environment Effects Act 1978* (EE Act) based on any criteria specifically relevant to flora, fauna or biodiversity.

Based on the current project footprint, no native vegetation (as defined in the Guidelines) is proposed for removal, therefore a permit under Clause 52.17 of the Latrobe Planning Scheme is not required.

Measures likely to be required by the Country Fire Authority (CFA) as per the renewable energy guidelines are outlined in this report and should be considered to inform the development of the Project.

#### **Recommendations**

Impacts to native vegetation have been avoided in the current design. It is recommended that no-go zone fencing around the canopy dripline for Habitat Zone E is installed prior to and throughout construction to avoid any impacts to native vegetation. No-go zone fencing should also be erected around native vegetation where works are proposed within 50 metres of such native vegetation (i.e. Habitat Zones B and D).

Appropriate firebreak widths between the proposed WESS and nearby vegetation are to be implemented and maintained. The width of the firebreak must be at least 10 metres wide. The firebreak should be achieved within the indicative project footprint outlined in the concept design to allow for the retention of the tree row along Bonds Lane, and other planted vegetation to the south east. The firebreak should also be located to avoid impacts to any native vegetation.

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

## 1 Introduction

EnergyAustralia (EA) is considering its future portfolio in Victoria given evolving consumer requirements, diversity in distributed energy resources, and the need for more flexible capacity given increasing use of renewables in the National Electricity Market (NEM). One component of the portfolio diversification is developing new battery energy storage systems (BESS).

EA has committed to building a BESS rated up to 1400MWh, which will be one of the largest operational batteries in Victoria. After a robust site selection process, EA's gas-fired Jeeralang Power Station, located in the Latrobe Valley, has been selected as the preferred location for the new WESS development. This was based on the planned Yallourn Power Station's closure in mid-2028, land availability, minimal environmental impacts and optimal connection to the grid.

The purpose of this ecological assessment is to provide information to enable EA to understand the potential ecological constraints for the Wooreen BESS (WESS / the Project). This ecological assessment report has been prepared to inform the planning application to the Minister for Planning and to determine the implications of the project under relevant Commonwealth and state biodiversity legislation, particularly the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act), *Environment Effects Act 1978* (EE Act) and *Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act* 1988 (FFG Act).

The conclusions and recommendations have considered the current site context and the known Project scope of works to determine potential requirements under the aforementioned legislation.

#### 1.1 Site Context

This section provides a description of the Project Land, locality and the broader geographical context of the Project.

The Project will be located at:

Part of Jeeralang A and B Gas Power Plant (SPI: 2F~A\PP2749) 30 Bonds Lane, Hazelwood North 3840. Certificate of Title Volume 11919 Folio 204). Owned by EnergyAustralia Pty Ltd Part of Monash Way Plantation (SPI: 2E~A\PP2749) Monash Way, Hazelwood North 3840. Certificate of Title Volume 11740 Folio 187). Owned by Department of Treasury and Finance Victoria.

The project land (inclusive of both sites) is located approximately 4.5km south of central Morwell and 4km north of Churchill. The smaller regional community of Hazelwood North is located approximately 4.5km to the east of the proposed site. Both sites are within the municipality of City of Latrobe.

The Project Land includes the Jeeralang Power Station, which is a gas turbine (open cycle) power station. The local region is predominantly used for energy generation and distribution with Hazelwood Terminal Station directly beside the Project Land, Loy Yang Power Station 13km to the east and the now decommissioned Hazelwood Power Station 3km to the west. Beyond energy related uses, the broader area can be characterised as farmland with rural townships including Hazelwood North, Morwell, Traralgon and Moe to the north west and north east.

Existing access to the Project Land is from Bonds Lane, which connects to Monash Way to the west and Tramway Road to the east.

To allow for changes as the project design progresses, this ecology assessment covers a broader study area, which includes the WESS Project Land plus additional land to the south and southwest including land owned by EA, AusNet and the Department of Treasury and Finance (DTF). The study area for the ecology investigation is shown in Figure 1.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright



#### **Project details** 1.2

The Project comprises of the WESS, which primarily relates to the construction of battery storage enclosures (battery cells that are situated in enclosures). The WESS will be rated up to 1400MWh providing electricity back into the grid at 350MW for a maximum of 4 hours. Large scale batteries store electricity, such as excess renewable energy. When demand for power is higher and there is less energy available, such as at night, the stored energy is available for use. Battery storage can also help reduce the potential for blackouts and any need for load shedding when there is a supply imbalance.

The following contains an indicative list and quantities of the elements required to enable the WESS to function:

- Approx. 280 BESS enclosures (or equivalent) equating to 1400MWh of lithium batteries with low voltage inverters and 33kV to low voltage transformers
- A 220/33 kV substation including two 220kV/33kV transformers, 220 kV isolators and auxiliary services such as two 33 kV zig-zag transformers
- One 220kV overhead powerline proposed to connect the BESS transformers to the switchyard
- One control room likely located adjacent to the BESS enclosures
- Four 33kV switchrooms likely located adjacent to the BESS enclosures
- Provision of an office, an operation and maintenance shed/room, and two car parking spaces for maintenance staff
- Multiple indicative noise walls approx. 6m in height
- Secondary access from Bolies Caried to Cherwet \$5 bacmade available for the sole purpose of enabling
- Installation of fire detection equipments identified and review as
- Perimeter road encompassing WESS rootprint and meshanders in decess
- lanning and Environment Act 1987.
- Retention pond and/or waterstorngeriaekt must not be used for any
- Replace internal fencing and install CCF which may breach any convright
- Temporary construction laydown areas

#### Scope and purpose of this assessment 1.3

The purpose of the ecological assessment was to provide an assessment of the biodiversity values at the project site, including an assessment of any potential impacts to native vegetation and/or significant flora, fauna and ecological communities. This assessment identifies the environmental approvals that may be triggered under Commonwealth and state legislation. This assessment also provides identification of any key risk areas of the project site and recommendations for locating project infrastructure to avoid impacts.

The scope of the ecological assessment was to:

- Undertake a review of existing ecological information for the project site, including preparation of database searches for native vegetation, flora and fauna;
- Undertake an ecological field survey to determine the type, extent and quality of native vegetation and fauna habitat present in the study area;
- Identify any significant ecological values (including threatened species or communities) that have potential to occur in the study area;
- Identify the potential implications for the project based on relevant biodiversity legislation and policy;
- Provide recommendations to assist with project design and locating of project infrastructure; and
- Identify the need for any future targeted surveys.

### 1.4 Limitations

The outcomes of this report are limited to the ecological assessment undertaken for the project site and immediate surrounds (refer to Figure 1). This report is limited to the scope defined in Section 1.3. Should further information become available regarding the conditions at the project site, Aurecon reserves the right to review the report in the context of the additional information.

Ecological assessments can be undertaken at any time of year, however seasonal variations can result in some flora and fauna not being detectable at certain times. Particularly, many flowering plant species are only detectable/identifiable when producing flowers or fruits. The early spring timing of the ecological field survey that informed this assessment was suitable to ascertain the extent and condition of native vegetation and habitat in the study area.

The AusNet portion of the study area supported a large mob of resident Eastern Grey Kangaroos (approx. 40 individuals), which based on discussions with the land manager, are understood to be fenced in in this location. Given the high grazing pressure from kangaroos in this location, it was not possible to accurately determine the percentage cover of perennial native flora or species richness in the ground layer at the time of the survey. This limitation is considered in the findings and implications of this report.

While the study area had been subject to heavy rains a week prior to the flora and fauna survey, this did not create any limitations to access or assessment of the flora and fauna investigation. Rather, conditions on the day of the survey were clear, and only small areas of sitting water remained in the lowest parts of the site. Conditions were therefore considered optimal to ascertain the extent and condition of native vegetation and habitat in the study area.

## ADVERTISED PLAN

## 2 Methodology

#### 2.1 Desktop assessment

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

The desktop assessment comprised a review of current databases for information on native vegetation and threatened flora, fauna and ecological communities listed under the Commonwealth EPBC Act and Victorian FFG Act. The methods adopted for the database search, likelihood of occurrence and impact assessment are outlined in the following sections.

The database searches undertaken for the Project provided a shortlist of the potential flora, fauna and ecological communities that may occur within 5 km of the study area. Database information was obtained from a circular search area with a radius of 5 km centred on the study area (coordinates: latitude 38° 16' 39" S and longitude 146° 25' 26" E).

Records from the following databases were collated and reviewed for the search area:

- Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) of the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) for matters protected by the EPBC Act (DAWE 2021a, See Appendix F); and
- The Victorian Biodiversity Atlas (DELWP 2021a) for records of listed threatened flora and fauna species.

The following information was also reviewed for the study area as part of the desktop assessment:

- The Victorian DELWP Native Vegetation Information Management System (NVIM) (DELWP 2021b);
- NatureKit (DELWP 2021c);
- VicPlan (DELWP 2021d); and
- Aerial imagery.

#### 2.1.1 Likelihood of occurrence analysis for threatened flora and fauna

The likelihood of occurrence of all threatened flora and fauna species collated in the database search was considered for the study area. The following threatened species were considered as part of this assessment:

- Threatened flora listed under the EPBC Act;
- Threatened and/or migratory fauna listed under the EPBC Act; and
- Threatened flora and fauna listed under the FFG Act.

Each of these species were considered against the suitability of habitat, to determine their likelihood of occurrence in the study area. The likelihood of a species occurring within the study area was classified as 'Negligible', 'Low', 'Moderate' or 'High' based on the consideration of:

- The presence/absence of previous records in the search region (as returned from the database search);
- The known habitat requirements and distribution of the species; and
- The suitability of habitat in the study area (based on the findings of the overview field assessment, and previous reports for the site).

The likelihood of occurrence of ecological communities are also considered in this report.

Details of the ranking criteria used to determine likelihood of occurrence of threatened flora and fauna in the study area is provided in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. Those determined to have a high to moderate likelihood of occurrence in the study area are considered further and discussed in Sections 3.2.4 and 3.2.5.

#### Likelihood of Criteria Occurrence Recent records of the species in the local vicinity (i.e. within the last 10 years) High Known resident in the area based on site observations, database records or expert advice and/or the Project Land contains high quality habitat Previous reputable records of the species in the local vicinity and/or the Project Land contains Moderate moderate quality habitat Limited previous records of the species in the local vicinity; and/or, the Project Land contains Low poor or limited habitat. May also be considered low if other environmental factors are present such as fragmented or isolated habitat No suitable habitat and/or the Project Land falls outside the known species range Negligible

#### Table 1 Likelihood of occurrence criteria for threatened flora species

#### Table 2 Likelihood of occurrence criteria for threatened and migratory fauna species

Likelihood of Occurrence	Criteria
	Known resident in the area based on site observations, database records or expert advice
High	Recent reputable records (within 5 years) of the species in the local area
	The Project Land contains the species' preferred habitat
	The species is likely to visit the Project Land regularly (i.e. at least seasonally)
Moderate	Previous reputable records of the species in the local area
	The Project Land contains some characteristics of the species' preferred habitat
	The species is likely to visit the Project Land occasionally or opportunistically whilst en-route to more suitable sites
Low	There are only limited or historical records of the species in the local area (>20 years old)
	The Project Land contains few or no characteristics of the species' preferred habitat
	No previous records of the species in the local area
	Previous records of the species exist in the local area but >30 years old
Negligible	The species may fly over the area when moving between areas of more suitable habitat
	Out of the known species' range
	No suitable habitat present within the Project Land
	Species is known to be regionally extinct

#### 2.1.2 Impact assessment

Listed threatened species and ecological communities determined as having a high or moderate likelihood of occurrence in the Project Land are then considered further in regard to the level of likely impact on these values from the proposed development.

#### 2.2 Field assessment

The flora and fauna field assessment was undertaken on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2021, which is within the optimal time to undertake ecological surveys as it is during spring. All three portions of the study area were accessed as part of the field survey, including the land owned by EA, AusNet and DTF. Areas that supported native vegetation or fauna habitat were assessed in detail on foot. Parts of the site that were lacking in ecological value were assessed by EA, and DTF. Areas that supported native be made available in the sole purpose of enabling.

The field survey was undertaken bysidetint Sullivan (Seiniorascologist), who is experienced in the identification of Victor a's floret arfch falmaniagchrascasconditation to undertake the assessment of native vegetation as listed on DERIWR's generation Quantity Assessment (VQA) Competency Register. The surveyors VQA certificate of accreditation undertaked the astronomy breach any

convright P511147 File Appendix D - Ecology.docx 2022-03-01 Revision 3

#### 2.2.1 Flora survey

A vegetative description of the study area was recorded along with a list of the flora species observed. The presence of any suitable habitat for threatened flora species was recorded and mapped, to inform the likelihood of occurrence analysis and the potential requirement for future targeted species surveys.

All native vegetation (including patches and scattered trees) recorded in the study area was mapped using Arc Collector on a device with in-built GPS (with 4-5 metre accuracy). Patches of native vegetation were classified to Ecological Vegetation Class (EVC) and a VQA was undertaken. All scattered trees were identified to species and their diameter at breast height (DBH) was measured.

The assessment of native vegetation undertaken was consistent with DELWP's Habitat hectare method (DSE 2004) and Victoria's *Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation* (DELWP 2017a), herein referred to as the Guidelines.

#### 2.2.2 Fauna survey

A list of all fauna species observed within the Project Land was recorded through active searching and general observations. The presence of any suitable habitat for threatened fauna species was recorded and mapped, to inform the likelihood of occurrence analysis as well as the potential requirement for future targeted fauna surveys.

## ADVERTISED PLAN

## 3 Results

### **ADVERTISED PLAN**

This section of the report presents the integrated results of the database review and field assessment.

#### 3.1 Database review

The review of the relevant databases (PMST and VBA) returned 11 listed threatened flora species and 44 listed threatened and/or migratory fauna species (including 32 birds, six mammals, one reptile, two frogs and three fish) in the 5 km search area. Details of each of these species habitat requirements as well as an analysis of the likelihood of occurrence in the study area is provided in Appendix D and E.

The study area lies within the Gippsland Plain bioregion and falls within the West Gippsland Catchment Management Authority (CMA) area and the Latrobe Local Government Area. The portion of the study area that is owned by EA is currently zoned as Special Use Zone 1 (SUZ1). Remaining land in the study area is zoned as Farming Zone 1 (FZ1). The study area is not covered by any Environmental Significance Overlay (ESO) or Vegetation Protection Overlay (VPO). A large proportion of the study area is covered by the Bushfire Management Overlay (BMO), which is associated with the Monash Way Plantations.

### 3.2 Ecological assessment

#### 3.2.1 Site description

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

The study area consists of three properties, each of which have been heavily altered for farming and breach any industrial land uses (Photo 1). Much of the study area (particularly portions of the EA land and DTFHend) comprises large farm paddocks which are currently used for grazing by cattle. These areas are dominated by introduced pasture grasses and lack any significant ecological values. It is also noted that a large proportion of the DTF land has previously been used for timber (Blue Gum) plantation, with historic aerial photography suggesting that timber plantations in the study area were last harvested between 2016 and 2017. At the time of the survey, this portion of the study area was heavily disturbed and comprise existing power generation infrastructure with the Jeeralang Power Station and a portion of the Hazelwood Terminal Station intersecting the study area. Various handstand areas and access roads exist in and around these sites.

Extensive areas of planted vegetation exist within the study area, including throughout the AusNet property, in the northern extension of the DTF property and along the northern boundary of the study area, south of Bonds Lane. These plantings consisted of a mixture of Australian native trees and shrubs, and commonly included Giant Honey-myrtle, Red Ironbark, River Red-gum, Sugar Gum, Sweet Pittosporum and various wattles (Photo 2, Photo 3). Given the setting and the species mix chosen, planted vegetation in the study area was considered to be for the purpose of amenity and/or screening, and hence is exempt from permit consideration as native vegetation as defined under Clause 52.17-7 in the planning scheme.



Photo 1: Farmland (introduced pasture) north east of the Jeeralang Power Station (EA property)



Photo 2: Planted vegetation (amenity/screening) in east of study area (AusNet property)

Native vegetation was largely limited to small, disconnected patches that were heavily altered from their natural state. This included narrow strips of grassy and shrubby vegetation along the southern side of Bonds Lane (Photo 5), small patches of River Red-gum, Blackwood and Swamp Paperbark, as well as scattered occurrences of Swamp Gum and Yarra Gum (which is listed as critically endangered on the FFG Act; Photo 6). Four patches comprising native Rush were also recorded either side of a drainage line which runs through the south west of the study area (Photo 4).



Photo 3: Planted tree row south of Bonds Lane



Photo 4: Treeless area of Swampy Riparian Woodland comprised of native Rush; introduced pasture in foreground (towards western boundary of DTF property)



Photo 5: Derived grassland form of Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) on southern side of Bonds Lane



Photo 6: Scattered Yarra Gums on the eastern side of Tramway Road (Trees 4 and 5)

The largest patch of native vegetation observed in the study area (Habitat Zone (HZ) D) occurred in an open grassy portion of the AusNet property. Despite heavy grazing by Eastern Grey Kangaroos, this area was observed to comprise a moderate cover of native flora in the ground layer, including grasses, sedges, moss and a high density of orchids. Further details of the native vegetation recorded in provided in Section 3.2.2.

A narrow drainage line intersected the western part of the study area. This drainage line, which connected to Bennetts Creek approximately 400 metres north of Bonds Lane, was highly disturbed and lacked any significant ecological value. Aquatic habitat in the study area was otherwise limited to small dams that lacked any significant fringing, floating or emergent vegetation. A small area of the grazing paddock in the EA property was observed to be holding a shallow area of water at the time of the survey, however this was considered to be due to the large rain event just prior to the survey and it is unlikely that this area would regularly hold water.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning tand Environment of the program of the sole o

#### 3.2.2 Native vegetation

#### Patches of native vegetation

Types of native vegetation that may be present within the study area were ascertained through the database review (DELWP 2021b; DELWP 2021c). This review noted the presence of various pre-1750 modelled vegetation communities within and nearby to the study area, namely Swamp Scrub (EVC 53), Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55), Plains Grassy Forest (EVC 151) and Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83). Particularly, Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) and Plains Grassy Forest (EVC 151) are both broadly modelled in the region, with Swamp Scrub (EVC 53) and Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83) modelled along drainage lines. Plains Grassy Forest (EVC 151) has a bioregional conservation status of vulnerable in the Gippsland Plain bioregion, while Swamp Scrub (EVC 53), Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) and Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 53) are considered endangered.

Patches of native vegetation in the study area were classified to EVC based on consideration of a combination of the following characteristics:

- Modelled EVC in the study area and surrounds;
- The location of the patch of native vegetation in the landscape;
- The dominant canopy species; and
- The flora species detectable at the time of the survey.

As per the assessment methodology outlined in the Guidelines, areas of uniform quality for each EVC within patches are termed 'habitat zones' and are assessed separately. Overall, 11 habitat zones were identified in the study area, as shown in Figure 2 and detailed in Table 3.

Given the altered state of most of the patches of native vegetation recorded in the study area (i.e. lack of tree canopy, or lack of understorey components), classification of EVC was made based on the characteristics available. Most native vegetation recorded in the study area (namely HZ A, B, C, D, E and G) was attributed to Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) which is broadly modelled in the region. This was largely due to the presence of River Red-gum, Blackwood and various graminoid species that are representative of the benchmark EVC (including Kangaroo Grass, Common Bog-sedge and Wattle Mat-rush). Areas of Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) recorded in the study area included three narrow, treeless patches of Plains Grassy Woodland along the south of Bonds Lane (HZs A, B, C), one large patch of treeless and heavily grazed Plains Grassy Woodland in the Ausnet property (HZ D), one small patch of Blackwoods (HZ E) and one small patch of River Red-gums (HZ F).

Habitat Zone F was classified as Swamp Scrub (EVC 53) on the basis that the patch comprised exclusively of Swamp Paperbark. Habitat Zones H, I, J and K were highly altered from their natural state and comprised exclusively of Tall Rush along lower parts of the site. These patches were classified as Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83) due to this EVCs modelled occurrence along drainage lines in the surrounding area, and the presence of Tall Rush in the EVC benchmark.

Results of the vegetation quality assessment for each habitat zone are provided in Appendix B. Scanned copied of VQA field data sheets are provided in Appendix I.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Table of Details of patenes of native vegetation recorded in the study area				
Habitat Zone	Ecological Vegetation Class	Condition Score (/100)	Description	Area (ha)
A	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	16	Row of Blackwood along southern side of Bonds Lane. High proportion of regrowth. Lacks native ground cover species.	0.220
В	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	11	Narrow (treeless) strip of derived grassland in southern road reserve of Bonds Lane. Native flora species included Kangaroo Grass and spear grass at low cover levels. High cover of grassy weeds.	0.039
С	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	12	Narrow (treeless) strip of derived grassland in southern road reserve of Bonds Lane. Native flora species included Kangaroo Grass and spear grass at low cover levels. High cover of grassy weeds.	0.069
D	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	15	Expansive, treeless patch of vegetation subject to heavy grazing by Eastern Grey Kangaroos resident in this area. Common Bog-sedge provided the dominant floristic cover throughout, as well as high cover of bryophytes (mosses/lichens). Wallaby grass was present though heavily grazed. Most notably, this area supported a high cover of orchids (including <i>Microtis spp.</i> and <i>Thelymitra spp.</i> ).	1.389
E	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	19	Small patch of woodland comprised exclusively of two large Blackwood trees in the corner of existing carpark.	0.020
F	Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)	25	Small patch of Swamp Scrub comprising a sparse canopy of Swamp Paperbark.	0.035
G	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	27	Small patch of woodland comprised exclusively of three River Red-gums, one being a large tree (Tree 3 in Table 4).	0.021
н	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	12		0.059
I	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	12	Four disconnected, treeless patches of native vegetation located in the low-lying sections of the study area along a	0.187
J	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	12	drainage line. Each patch is distinguished by a moderate	0.044
К	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	12		0.131
Total area of native vegetation in patches recorded in study area (ha)       2				2.214

Table 3 Details of patches of native vegetation recorded in the study area

#### Scattered trees and Large trees in patches

Eight trees defined as native vegetation subject to the Guidelines were recorded in the study area. This included seven scattered trees in a patch (HZ G).

for the sole purpose of enabling Swamp Gum and Yarra Gum scattered trees recorded were considered to have once comprised the canopy component of Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 55) which Red gum gecorded in Habitat Zonel Govas classified as Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) which has placed tree DBHutsroot de give tree diameter at breast height (DBH)

Details of all scattered trees and large trees in patches recorded in the study area are listed in Table 4.

Table 4 Details of native trees recorded in the study area

Tree Number	Common Name	Scientific Name	Size and type	DBH (cm)	TPZ (m)
1	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Small Scattered Tree	60	7.2
2	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Small Scattered Tree	21	2.52
3	River Red-gum	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	Large Tree in patch	87	10.44
4	Yarra Gum	Eucalyptus yarraensis	Large Scattered Tree	85	10.2
5	Yarra Gum	Eucalyptus yarraensis	Small Scattered Tree	63	7.56
6	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Small Scattered Tree	47	5.64
7	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Large Scattered Tree	84	10.08
8	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Small Scattered Tree	68	8.16

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

### **ADVERTISED PLAN**



Figure 2: Study area and ecological values

Figure 2 Study area and ecological values

Metres

200

Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGAZone 55

#### 3.2.3 Threatened ecological communities

One EPBC Act listed threatened ecological community was listed in the PMST as known or likely to occur within 5 km of the Project Land (DAWE 2021a). This included:

 Gippsland Red Gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis subsp. mediana*) Grassy Woodland and Associated Native Grassland (listed as Critically Endangered)

It is noted that two forms of this ecological community can occur; a <u>grassy woodland</u> form which is dominated by Gippsland Red-gum, and a <u>grassland</u> form which was likely to have previously been dominated by Gippsland Red-gum but the tree canopy component has since been cleared, leaving only a native ground layer.

To meet the criteria for listing as this community, a patch of native vegetation must meet the following condition thresholds (as per DEWHA 2010):

- Occur in the central Gippsland Plain, and be dominated by native species;
- Have a ground layer dominated by native vegetation (>50% cover of the perennial ground layer);
- Meet a minimum size threshold of 0.04 ha for treeless remnants or 0.2 ha for treed remnants;
- For treed remnants, be dominated by Gippsland Red-gum;
- For treeless remnants, have 7 or more native ground flora species present.

Patches of native vegetation recorded in the study area are considered here as to whether they classify as the listed community:

Habitat Zones A, B, C: While three patches of the derived grassland form of Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) were recorded along the points character available required native species cover and diversity to classify as the above EPBC Act listed ecological its consideration and review as

part of a planning process under the

- Habitat Zone D: This patch comprised and desir (grassland) to the survey, which are resident in this area. Given the high grazing pressure provide the structure of the survey, the ugh Common Bog-sedge, mosses and lichens were observed to make up a large proportion of the ground cover. Furthermore, while low flora species diversity (<7 species) was recorded in this area at the time of the survey, there is potential that additional flora species would be recorded if grazing was restricted for a period. As such, there is potential that the grassland form of the EPBC Act listed community occurs in Habitat Zone D.</p>
- <u>Habitat Zones E and G</u>: While these patches comprised Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55), they lacked a canopy of Gippsland Red Gum and/or native understorey. They were also too small to classify as the listed EPBC Act community.
- Habitat Zones F, H, I, J, K: These patches comprised different EVC's which do not meet the classification for the listed EPBC Act community.

Based on the above details, it is considered that Habitat Zone D has the potential to support the grassland form of the *Gippsland Red Gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis subsp. mediana) Grassy Woodland and Associated Native Grassland community*. Further assessment would be required when the current grazing pressure has been removed for a period of two months (DEWHA 2010) to make an accurate determination on the presence/absence of this ecological community. Given the likelihood of continued grazing pressure by kangaroos in this location, it is recommended that the precautionary approach is adopted and that no works are proposed in this area by the project.

Based on a review of the descriptions of FFG Act listed communities in the region, it is considered unlikely that any of the native vegetation recorded in the study area classifies as a FFG Act listed community.

#### 3.2.4 Flora

Most of the study area comprised highly altered farm paddocks that consisted largely of introduced flora. During the field assessment 60 flora species were recorded, 22 (37%) of which were indigenous species considered to be naturally occurring in the study area. The remainder were either introduced species (pasture grasses and common farmland weeds) or species that had been planted for amenity (various native and non-native trees and shrubs). A full list of the flora species recorded in the study area is provided in Appendix C.

No flora listed as threatened under the EPBC Act were recorded in the study area during the assessment.

Four flora species listed as threatened under the FFG Act were recorded in the study area. This included one species that was naturally occurring (Yarra Gum) and three species that were planted for amenity/screening (Bog Gum, Giant Honey-myrtle and Spotted Gum). Only species naturally occurring in the area and not planted are afforded protection under the FFG Act. As such, Yarra Gum was the only species recorded that is considered as threatened for this assessment. The occurrence of Yarra Gum in the study area was limited to two scattered trees (Trees 4 and 5) on the eastern side of Tramway Road (See Figure 2). This species is known from a number of records east of the Morwell Power Station. The specimens recorded in the study area were identified as Yarra Gum on the basis of the rough fibrous bark to the upper branches, undulating leaves, and small rounded buds.

In addition to threatened flora species, the FFG Act also lists protected flora species which require additional approvals for any proposed impacts on public land. Two FFG Act protected flora species were recorded in the study area, namely Black Wattle and Hop Wattle, both which were recorded in the southern road reserve of Bonds Lane (See Figure 2).

The likelihood of all threatened flora species which were detected in the database searches within 5 km of the study area was considered in Appendix D. Due to the limited extent of native vegetation, high disturbance and current and previous land use of the study area, it was determined that no additional threatened flora species have a moderate or high. likelihood of occurrence in the study area.

#### 3.2.5 Fauna

part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

Land within the study area largely consisted of farmland, which had been subject to a long history of farming and other land use. As such fauna habitats were mainly limited to planted trees and shrubs, and low-quality aquatic habitat along the drainage line that intersects the west of the study area. Areas of planted vegetation, particularly those within the AusNet property and in the north of the DTF property comprised a mix of tree and shrub species that would provide foraging and dispersal opportunities for common fauna such as birds and arboreal mammals. Numerous bird species and evidence of possums (dreys) were observed in areas of planted vegetation during the survey. A large mob of Eastern Grey Kangaroos (approx. 40 individuals) were also observed resting in the shade of the planted vegetation in the AusNet property during the survey. Based on discussion with the land manager, it is understood that the kangaroos are fenced in in this location, which presents a potential management issue for the site.

A narrow drainage line, which connects with Bennetts Creek to the north, intersects the western part of the study area. Aquatic habitat in the study area was otherwise limited to small dams that lacked any significant fringing, floating or emergent vegetation. Aquatic habitats in the study area were disturbed and lacked any significant ecological value.

A total of 30 fauna species were recorded in the study area, 26 (87%) of which were common native fauna species. A full list of the fauna species recorded in the study area is provided in Appendix C. No threatened fauna species were recorded within the study area. The likelihood of the listed fauna species detected in the database searches within 5 km of the study area was considered in Appendix E. Due to the limited extent of native vegetation or fauna habitat, high disturbance and current and previous land use of the study area, it was determined that no threatened fauna species have a moderate or high likelihood of occurrence in the study area.

## 4 Proposed impacts and implications

EnergyAustralia propose to develop the WESS in the study area. The extent of the project footprint which includes the WESS and associated infrastructure is shown in Figure 3. The project footprint has been determined based on information provided by EA and includes:

- <u>WESS Indicative Design</u> (See Appendix G and Figure 3). This includes the WESS and associated buildings, temporary construction laydown area, cabling and transformers.
- <u>Grid connection concept design</u> (See Figure 3). This includes the extent of the area needed to provide the grid connection to the adjoining Hazelwood Terminal Station, including high voltage (HV) towers and associated construction hardstand and access roads.

This section outlines the proposed impacts to ecological values based on the project footprint (Section 4.1) and outlines the implications of relevant environmental legislation and policy (Section 4.2). High level consideration of bushfire risk is also provided in Section 4.3. Recommendations are provided where relevant to inform the detailed design phase of the Project.

### 4.1 Impacts to ecological values

#### 4.1.1 WESS

The site proposed for the development of the WESS comprises farmland that is currently grazed by cattle and lacks any native vegetation or significant ecological value. This portion of the study area has had a long history of farming and is likely to have been subject to other previous disturbance, being located immediately north east of the existing Jeeralang Power Station. The proposal to locate the WESS in this location will not result in the removal of any native vegetation or other significant ecological values.

#### 4.1.2 Grid connection

The location of infrastructure proposed for the grid connection largely occurs in disturbed farmland that lacks native vegetation or significant ecological value. While all native vegetation nearby will be avoided, the development of the grid connection may result in the removal of a small area of planted vegetation. This vegetation has been planted for amenity/screening purposes and does not meet the definition of native vegetation in accordance with the Guidelines. Though this vegetation would provide habitat for common fauna, its removal would not result in any impacts to listed threatened species or communities.

#### 4.1.3 Access from adjoining roads

Ecological values along all adjoining roads have been mapped and assessed as part of this investigation (See Figure 2 and 3), with native vegetation and other ecological values being recorded along Bonds Lane and Tramway Road. It is understood that access for the Project will avoid all native vegetation recorded.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

### **ADVERTISED PLAN**



Grid Connection Footprint Temporary Construction Laydown Areas Secondary Access Road Department of Treasury and Finance

raralgon

Version: 5

EnergyAustralia Wooreen BESS project

Ecological Assessment

Figure 3 Indicative layout

# 4.2 Implications under relevant environmental legislation and policy

#### 4.2.1 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

The EPBC Act is Commonwealth legislation that provides a legal framework to protect and manage nationally and internationally important flora, fauna, ecological communities and heritage places, termed Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES). Under the EPBC Act, an action that has, will have, or is likely to have, a significant import on a WNES must be referred to the Commonwealth Minister for the sole purpose of enabling approval under the EPBC Act. and the proposed action requires formal assessment and its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the

The results from the database search of ghand PBC i Act PM8 Trice him in a 5 km radius search area of the WNE8 tratevant to the Project Land are summarised in Table 5, with threatened and migratory species to the project of the Project Land are summarised in the following Subjections. Based on the information summarised below, there is unlikely to be any implications for the Project under the EPBC Act.

Table 5 Summary of Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) relevant to the search area

Matters of National Environmental Significance	MNES relevant to the project search area
World Heritage Properties	None
National Heritage Places	None
Wetlands of International Importance	1
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park	None
Commonwealth Marine Area	None
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities	1
Listed Threatened Species	29
Listed Migratory Species	14

#### Wetlands of international importance (Ramsar)

One wetland of international importance, the Gippsland Lakes Ramsar site, was identified in the PMST for the search area. At its closest point, the Gippsland Lakes Ramsar site is located approximately 60 kilometres east of the study area.

The study area is located well upstream of the Gippsland Lakes and does not drain directly into this Ramsar site. Construction and operation of the Project is therefore unlikely to result in a significant impact on the ecological character of this or any other wetlands of international importance.

#### Listed threatened species

Based on the assessments in Appendix D and E, and the detail provided in Sections 3.2.4 and 3.2.5, it has been determined that no threatened flora or fauna species have a high or moderate likelihood of occurrence in the study area. As such, no EPBC Act listed threatened species are considered to be at risk of a significant impact from the Project.

#### Listed threatened ecological communities

One EPBC Act listed threatened ecological community, the *Gippsland Red Gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis subsp. mediana) Grassy Woodland and Associated Native* Grassland, was listed in the PMST as potentially being present in the search area. As detailed in Section 3.2.3, there is potential that the grassland form of **Project number** P511147 File Appendix D - Ecology.docx 2022-03-01 Revision 3

this community occurs in Habitat Zone D, though this was not able to be confirmed during the site inspection given the disturbance in this area, namely the high grazing pressure from kangaroos. Given the likelihood of continued grazing pressure by kangaroos in this location, it has been recommended that the project adopts the precautionary approach and avoids any impacts to this area.

Based on the concept design, no works or infrastructure are proposed in this area. Therefore, no EPBC Act listed communities would be subject to any significant impacts from the Project.

#### Migratory and marine species

Based on the assessment in Appendix E, no EPBC Act migratory listed fauna species are considered to have a high or moderate likelihood of occurrence in the study area. As such, no EPBC Act migratory listed fauna species are at risk of a significant impact from the Project.

#### 4.2.2 Environment Effects Act 1978

The Ministerial Guidelines for Assessment of Environmental Effects under the Environment Effects Act 1978 (DSE 2006) outlines the triggers for referral of a project to the Victorian Minister for Planning who will determine if an Environmental Effects Statement (EES) is required. Criteria relevant to flora and fauna are broadly summarised to include:

- Extensive removal of native vegetation (>10 hectares);
- Specified significant impacts to threatened species listed in Victoria; and
- Long term changes to Ramsar wetlands.

land.

Based on the results of the flora and fauna assessment, the project will not trigger a referral under the Environment Effects Act 1978 (EE Act) based on any criteria specifically selepted to torranget unabor made available biodiversity. Other criteria beyond those relating to flora and fauna that trigger a hereral manager of our have its consideration and review as not been considered as part of this assessment. part of a planning process under the

#### 4.2.3 Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988

Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any The FFG Act is the key piece of Victorian legislation for the conservation of threatened species and communities and for the management of potentially threatening processes. Under the FFG Act a permit is required from DELWP to take (kill, injure, disturb or collect) threatened or protected flora species from public

The following FFG Act listed threatened species were recorded in the study area:

Yarra Gum (Eucalyptus yarraensis) - Two individuals (Scattered Trees 4 and 5) were recorded in the eastern road reserve of Tramway Road (See Figure 2).

The following FFG Act protected flora species were recorded on public land in the study area:

- Black Wattle (Acacia mearnsii) Three individuals were recorded in the northern road reserve of Bonds Lane, just west of the intersection with Tramway Road (shown as one point in Figure 2).
- Hop Wattle (Acacia stricta) One individual was recorded in the southern road reserve of Bonds Lane, at the eastern end of Habitat Zone C (See Figure 2).

Based on the project footprint (See Figure 3), no FFG Act listed threatened or protected species will be subject to impacts from the Project, therefore a Protected Flora Permit is not required.

#### 4.2.4 Planning and Environment Act 1987

The Planning and Environment Act 1987 controls the planning and development of land in Victoria and provides for the development of planning schemes for all municipalities under the Planning Scheme and Planning Policy Framework.

Clause 12.01-2S (Native vegetation management) and Clause 52.17 (Native Vegetation) of the Victorian Planning Provision requires that the removal of native vegetation results in no net loss in the contribution

made by native vegetation to Victoria's biodiversity, and that this is achieved by applying the three-step approach outlined in Victoria's 'Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation' (the Guidelines):

- 1. Avoid the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation.
- 2. Minimise impacts from the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation that cannot be avoided.
- 3. Provide an offset to compensate for the biodiversity impact if a permit is granted to remove, destroy or lop native vegetation.

A planning permit is required under Clause 52.17 to remove, destroy or lop native vegetation, including dead native vegetation. Decision guidelines must be considered by the Referral and Responsible Authorities in deciding to grant, or otherwise, the planning permit. Exemptions to the requirement for a permit to remove native vegetation are specified in Clause 52.17 and include themes such as regrowth, dead vegetation and planted vegetation.

The Guidelines are incorporated into the Victorian Planning Provisions to regulate the clearance of native vegetation across the state. The Guidelines use a risk-based approach to determine the significance of native vegetation based on the extent, quality and location of vegetation proposed to be removed. Further details on the application of the guidelines are provided in Appendix A.

#### Impacts to native vegetation and implications under the Guidelines

Based on the project footprint shown in Figure 3, the Project will not result in the loss of native vegetation. Following the ecological field assessment and draft report, EnergyAustralia have adopted initial recommendations and made refinements to the project design to avoid impacts to native vegetation. The proposed footprint for the WEGS and associated grid connection infrastructure has now been situated to avoid impacts to native vegetation. for the sole purpose of enabling

Given no removal of native vegetation within the project lootprint, there is no requirement for a permit under Clause 52.17 of the Latrobe Planning Scheme, Assessment under the Guidelines and/or native vegetation offsets are therefore not required latting and such as the used for any

#### **Design refinements**

purpose which may breach any convright

At a strategic level, it is understood the location of the proposed action has been chosen due its proximity to the Jeeralang Power Station, existing infrastructure and minimal environmental impacts. It is also noted that the site has been subject to a long history of agricultural use and is highly modified.

At a site planning level, efforts have been made to avoid and minimise impacts to native vegetation as much as possible, without undermining the key objectives of the project. The location and extent of native vegetation has been considered during the planning and design phase, and Aurecon's project ecologists have met with EA to discuss recommendations for retention of native vegetation. This has led to the refinement of the design for the Project as shown in Figure 3 which allows for the retention of all native vegetation in the study area. Particularly, Habitat Zone E which under previous designs was required to be removed, will now be retained through revision to the grid connection footprint.

#### 4.2.5 Wildlife Act 1975 and Wildlife Regulations 2002

The main legislation for protecting and managing fauna in Victoria is the Wildlife Act 1975. This covers indigenous vertebrate species (except declared pest species), invertebrate species listed under the FFG Act and some introduced game species. A Management Authorisation permit would be required under the Act if salvage and relocation of fauna are to be undertaken as part of any removal of habitat associated with the works. This may be required for the removal of planted (amenity/screening) vegetation in the event that any native animals and/or nests are found to be present.

#### 4.2.6 Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994

The *Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994* (CaLP Act) identifies and classifies certain species as noxious weeds or pest animals and provides a system of controls on noxious species.

The CaLP Act also provides a legislative framework for the management of private and public land and sets out the responsibilities of land managers, stating that they must take all reasonable steps to:

- Avoid causing or contributing to land degradation which causes or may cause damage to land of another land owner;
- Protect water resources;
- Conserve soil;
- Eradicate regionally prohibited weeds;
- Prevent the growth and spread of regionally controlled weeds; and
- Prevent the spread of, and as far as possible eradicate, established pest animals.

The study area contains the following noxious weeds listed as regionally controlled within the West Gippsland Catchment Management Authority region:

- African Box-thorn (Lycium ferocissimum)
- Blackberry (Rubus fruticosus spp. agg.)
- Spear Thistle (Cirsium vulgare)
- Sweet Briar (Rosa rubiginosa)

Appropriate weed control and hygiene measures should be outlined in the Construction and Operational Environmental Management Plans for the Project. Specific attention should be given to ensuring appropriate measures are in place during construction to prevent the spread of high threat weeds.

#### 4.3 Bushfire risk

While distinct areas of planted (amenity/screening) vegetation exist adjacent to the proposed WESS site as well as west of Monash Way, the broader surrounds are largely distinguished by open farmland. As such grassland presents the main bushfire hazard vegetation type in the region. The closest forested bushfire hazard in the region is at Hazelwood South, approximately 5km south east of the Project site.

A large proportion of the study area, particularly land in the west that is associated or immediately adjacent to the Monash Way Plantations, is covered by the Bushfire Management Overlay (BMO). Like much of Victoria, the entire study area is designated as a Bushfire Prone Area (BPA).

Clause 13.02-1S of the Latrobe Planning Scheme and Clause 44.06 of the Victorian Planning Scheme lists types of applications for which bushfire risk should be considered in a BPA and BMO respectively. As the proposed development (energy storage facility / utility installation) is not listed, the application requirements of Clause 13.02 and 44.06 are not considered to apply and have not been considered further in this report.

However, as the Minister for Planning refers the application to the Country Fire Authority (CFA), it is recommended that the requirements of the *CFA guidelines for renewable energy facilities* (CFA 2021) are applied to the Project. Details of how the Project will meet the requirements of the CFA guidelines is presented in a separate Bushfire Assessment which has been prepared for this Project (Aurecon 2022).

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

### 5 Summary and recommendations

### 5.1 Summary

Aurecon undertook a flora and fauna assessment to inform the development of the WESS located south of Morwell, in eastern Victoria.

The study area consists of three properties, each of which have been heavily altered for farming and/or industrial use, and as such lack extensive areas of native vegetation or significant ecological values.

The following summarises the key findings within the study area:

- Extensive areas of planted vegetation exist for the purpose of amenity and/or screening. These are exempt from permit consideration as native vegetation as defined under Clause 52.17-7 in the planning scheme.
- Native vegetation was largely limited to small, disconnected patches that were heavily altered from their natural state. This included three narrow, treeless patches of Plains Grassy Woodland, one large patch of treeless and heavily grazed Plains Grassy Woodland and multiple patches of treeless Swampy Riparian Woodland.
- Eight native vegetation trees were recorded, including seven scattered trees (five small and two large) and one large tree in a patch. Of these, two trees were identified as Yarra Gum, which is listed as critically endangered on the FFG Act.
- No threatened species listed under the EPBC Act were recorded nor considered to have a moderate or high likelihood of occurrence. One EPBC Act listed ecological community, the *Gippsland Red Gum* (*Eucalyptus tereticornis suppliant*) (Grassy M/Qc/landcandc/lasoc lated Native Grassland, was considered to have the potential to the potential to
- Four flora species listed as threatened under the FFG Act were recorded, however only one is considered a native threatened flora species (Yarra Gum), which was limited to the two individuals east of Tramway Road. Black Wattle and Hop Wattle, which is listed as protected under the FFG Act were recorded on public land in the Bonds Lane road reserve. No threatened fauna or ecological communities listed under the FFG Act were recorded in the study area and none were considered to have a moderate or high likelihood of occurrence.

Following the ecological field assessment and draft report, EnergyAustralia have adopted initial recommendations and made refinements to the project design to avoid impacts to native vegetation. The proposed footprint for the WESS and associated grid connection infrastructure has now been situated to avoid impacts to native vegetation. While all native vegetation in the study area will be avoided, the development of the grid connection will result in the removal of a small area of planted vegetation. This vegetation has been planted for amenity/screening purposes and does not meet the definition of native vegetation in accordance with the Guidelines. Though this vegetation would provide habitat for common fauna, its removal would not result in any impacts to listed threatened species or communities

Based on the project footprint, there are no implications for the Project under the EPBC Act or FFG Act and the Project will not trigger a referral under the EE Act based on any criteria specifically relevant to flora, fauna or biodiversity. Furthermore, given the extensive measures to avoid and mitigate impacts to proposed removal of vegetation, namely Habitat Zone E, the project does not require a permit or offset requirements for the removal of native vegetation under the Latrobe Planning Scheme.

### 5.2 Recommendations

Impacts to native vegetation have been avoided in the current design. It is recommended that no-go zone fencing around the canopy dripline for Habitat Zone E is installed prior to and throughout construction to

avoid any impacts to native vegetation. No-go zone fencing should also be erected around native vegetation where works are proposed within 50 metres of such native vegetation (i.e. Habitat Zones B and D).

Appropriate firebreak widths between the proposed WESS and nearby vegetation are to be implemented and maintained. The width of the firebreak must be at least 10 metres wide. The firebreak should be achieved within the indicative project footprint outlined in the concept design to allow for the retention of the tree row along Bonds Lane, and other planted vegetation to the south east. The firebreak should also be located to avoid impacts to any native vegetation.

### **ADVERTISED PLAN**

## 6 References

Aurecon 2022, Wooreen Battery Energy Storage System – Bushfire assessment (Ref 511147)

Australian Museum 2021, Sydney NSW, viewed 23rd August 2021, < https://australianmuseum.net.au>

Birdlife Australia 2021, Bird species profiles, viewed 23rd August 2021, <http://www.birdlife.org.au/>

- CFA 2021, *Guidelines for Renewable Energy Installations*, Community Infrastructure Department, Specialist Risk and Fire Safety Unit, Country Fire Authority (CFA), March 2021.
- DAWE 2021a, Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, EPBC Act Protected Matters Report. Department of Environment and Energy, Canberra, ACT, generated 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/pmst/pmst.jsf">http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/pmst/pmst.jsf</a>
- DAWE 2021b, Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, viewed 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgibin/sprat/public/sprat.pl>
- DELWP 2017a, *Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation*, Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land Water and Planning, Melbourne.
- DELWP 2017b, *Applicants Guide Applications to remove, destroy or lop native vegetation*, Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land Water and Planning, Melbourne.
- DELWP 2021a, Victorian Biodiversity Atlas, Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning Vivoriapiviewled 28 en August 2021e available <a href="https://www.environment.vic.gov.au/biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.vic.gov.au/biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity/victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas>">https://www.environment.victorian-biodiversity-atlas</a>
- DELWP 2021b, Native Vege tationanformation Magagement Gysternh(NVIM), Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land Water and Planning, Victoria, viewed 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <a href="https://nvim.delwp.vic.gov/pu//Bigdivensit/">https://nvim.delwp.vic.gov/pu//Bigdivensit/</a> may breach any
- DELWP 2021c, NatureKit, Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Victoria, viewed 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <a href="http://maps.biodiversity.vic.gov.au/viewer/?viewer=NatureKit">http://maps.biodiversity.vic.gov.au/viewer/?viewer=NatureKit</a>>
- DELWP 2021d, VicPlan. Government of Victoria, Department of Environment, Land Water and Planning, Melbourne, Victoria, viewed 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <https://mapshare.vic.gov.au/vicplan/>
- DELWP 2021e, Online Search of the Native Vegetation Credit Register, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, East Melbourne, Victoria, viewed 6<sup>th</sup> December 2021, <a href="https://nvcr.delwp.vic.gov.au/">https://nvcr.delwp.vic.gov.au/</a>
- DEWHA 2010, *Gippsland Red Gum Grassy Woodland and Associated Native Grassland, A nationally threatened ecological community, EPBC Act Policy Statement 3.22*, Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, now Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment.
- DoE 2013, Matters of National Environmental Significance Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1. Department of the Environment (now DAWE), Canberra.
- DSE 2004, Native Vegetation: sustaining a living landscape, Vegetation Quality Assessment Manual guidelines for applying the Habitat Hectare scoring method (Version 1.3), Department of Sustainability and Environment, now Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, East Melbourne, Victoria

- DSE 2006, Ministerial Guidelines for Assessment of Environmental Effects under the Environmental Effects Act 1978, Department of Sustainability and Environment, now Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- VICFLORA 2021, Flora of Victoria, Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria, viewed 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2021, <a href="https://vicflora.rbg.vic.gov.au">https://vicflora.rbg.vic.gov.au</a> 2021

# Appendix A: Permitted clearing assessment (the Guidelines)

This section describes the Victorian permitted clearing guidelines and methods of applying those guidelines.

#### **Risk-based Pathway**

In Victoria, a permit is required to remove, destroy or lop native vegetation under Clause 52.17 of the Victorian Planning Provisions (VPP) empowered by the Victorian *Planning and Environment Act 1987*. These provisions are outlined in various guidelines discussed below.

In December 2017, the Victorian State Government released a set of reforms to regulate the approval and conditions associated with vegetation clearing.

The *Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation* (the Guidelines) outline how impacts on Victoria's biodiversity are assessed and the appropriate risk based pathway when an application to remove native vegetation is lodged (DELWP 2017a). The Guidelines are an incorporated document in all Victorian Planning Schemes and are applied alongside other requirements of the planning scheme when an application for a permit to remove native vegetation is considered by the responsible authority.

The risk based pathway approach categorises an application into one of three pathways. Taken from DELWP 2017a:

- Basic limited impacts on biodiversity.
- Intermediate could impact on large trees, endangered EVCs, and sensitive wetlands and coastal areas.
- Detailed could impact on large trees, endangered EVCs, sensitive wetlands and coastal areas, and could significantly impact on habitat for rare or threatened species.

The location of the vegetation removal is then assessed in terms of significance for biodiversity. Three location categories have been assigned by DELWP (2007a) and in terms of importance include:

- Location 3 includes locations where the removal of less than 0.5 hectares of native vegetation could have a significant impact on habitat for a rare or threatened species.
- Location 2 includes locations that are mapped as endangered EVCs and/or sensitive wetlands and coastal areas (section 3.2.1) and are not included in Location 3.
- Location 1 includes all remaining locations in Victoria.

Once the risk pathway and the location significance are known the application assessment pathway can be determined as per the table below.

Extent of native vegetation to be removed Content	Location 1	Location 2	Location 3
Less than 0.5 hectares and not including any large trees	Basic	Intermediate	Detailed
Less than 0.5 hectares and including one or more large trees	Intermediate	Intermediate	Detailed
0.5 hectares or more	Detailed	Detailed	Detailed

The vegetation removal pathway then determines the level of assessment and information required in an application to remove, lop or destroy native vegetation.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

## Appendix B: Vegetation quality assessment results

Habitat H	lectare Criteria	Max score	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	К
Site Condition	Area (ha)		0.220	0.039	0.069	1.389	0.020	0.035	0.021	0.059	0.187	0.044	0.131
	Bioregion		Gippsland Plain										
	Ecological Vegetation Class (EVC)		Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)	Swampy Riparian Woodland (EVC 83)				
	Large Old Trees	10	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	9	0	0	0	0
	Canopy Cover	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0
	Lack of Weeds	15	2	2	2	6	9	9	9	6	6	6	6
	Understorey	25	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5
	Recruitment	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Organic Matter	5	3	3	3	2	3	3	4	0	0	0	0
	Logs	5	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Site Score		15	10	10	13	17	19	26	11	11	11	11
	Standardiser		1	1	1	1	1	1.25	1	1	1	1	1
	Standardised Sc	ore	15	10	10	13	17	24	26	11	11	11	11
Landscape	Patch Size	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Value	Neighbourhood	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Distance to Core	5	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Landscape Score		1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Final score	Habitat Score (out of 100)	100	16	11	12	15	19	25	27	12	12	12	12
	Condition Score (out of 1)	1	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.25	0.27	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

purpose which may breach any

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

its consideration and review as part of a planing and Environment Act 1987.

The documer	nt must no	ot be used for an Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Recorded
purpose	which ma	ecies recorded in the study are		LI DO ACI		Recorded
	convrie	Atrican Box-thorn	Lycium ferocissimum			Х
	*	Annual Meadow-grass	Poa annua s.l.			X
	*	Bastard's Fumitory	Fumaria bastardii			X
		Black Wattle	Acacia mearnsii		Р	X
	*	Blackberry	Rubus fruticosus spp. agg.		_	X
		Blackwood	Acacia melanoxylon			X
	(P)	Bog Gum	Eucalyptus kitsoniana		CE	х
	*	Buck's-horn Plantain	Plantago coronopus			Х
	*	Cape Weed	Arctotheca calendula			Х
	*	Cat's Ear	Hypochaeris spp.			Х
		Common Bog-sedge	Schoenus apogon			Х
	*	Common Centaury	Centaurium erythraea			Х
	*	Common Water-starwort	Callitriche stagnalis			Х
	* (P)	Cootamundra Wattle	Acacia baileyana			Х
		Cotton Fireweed	Senecio quadridentatus			Х
	*	Couch	Cynodon dactylon var. dactylon			Х
	(P)	Cypress-pine	Callitris spp.			Х
		Dock	Rumex spp.			Х
	*	Dock (naturalised)	Rumex spp. (naturalised)			Х
	(P)	Giant Honey-myrtle	Melaleuca armillaris subsp. armillaris		EN	Х
		Hop Wattle	Acacia stricta		Р	Х
		Kangaroo Grass	Themeda triandra			Х
	(P)	Manna Gum	Eucalyptus viminalis			Х
	*	Medic	Medicago spp.			Х
	*	Onion Grass	Romulea rosea			Х
		Onion Orchid	Microtis spp.		Р	Х
	(P)	Ovens Wattle	Acacia pravissima			Х
		Pale Sundew	Drosera peltata s.l.			Х
	* (P)	Prickly Paperbark	Melaleuca styphelioides			Х
	(P)	Red Box	Eucalyptus polyanthemos			Х
	(P)	Red Ironbark	Eucalyptus tricarpa			Х
	*	Red-ink Weed	Phytolacca octandra			Х
	*	Ribwort	Plantago lanceolata			Х
	(P) *	River Oak	Casuarina cunninghamiana subsp. cunninghamiana			X
		River Red-gum	Eucalyptus camaldulensis			Х
		Rush	Juncus spp.			Х
	*	Rye Grass	Lolium spp.			Х
	(P)	Sallow Wattle	Acacia longifolia			X
		Sheep's Burr	Acaena echinata			Х
	(P)	Southern Blue-gum	Eucalyptus globulus			X
	(P)	Southern Mahogany	Eucalyptus botryoides			Х
	*	Spear Thistle	Cirsium vulgare			X
		Spear-grass	Austrostipa spp.			Х
	(P)	Spotted Gum	Corymbia maculata		VU	X
	* (P)	Sugar Gum	Eucalyptus cladocalyx			Х
		Sun Orchid	Thelymitra spp.		Р	X
		Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata		D. Fashani dani	X 2022-03-01 Revision 3

Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Recorded
	Swamp Paperbark	Melaleuca ericifolia			Х
*	Sweet Briar	Rosa rubiginosa			X
*	Sweet Pittosporum	Pittosporum undulatum			X
*	Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum			X
	Tall Rush	Juncus procerus			X
*	Toowoomba Canary-grass	Phalaris aquatica			X
	Wallaby Grass	Rytidosperma spp.			X
	Wattle Mat-rush	Lomandra filiformis			X
	Wood Sorrel	Oxalis spp.			X
	Yarra Gum	Eucalyptus yarraensis		CE	X
(P)	Yellow Box	Eucalyptus melliodora			X
(1)	Yellow Rush-lily	Tricoryne elatior			X
*	Yorkshire Fog	Holcus lanatus			X
Found of	pecies recorded in the study a				<b>X</b>
raulia S					v
*	Australian Magpie	Gymnorhina tibicen Turdus merula			X
	Common Blackbird				
*	Common Froglet	Crinia signifera			X
*	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis			
^	Common Starling	Sturnus vulgaris			X
	Crested Pigeon	Ocyphaps lophotes			X
	Crimson Rosella	Platycercus elegans			X
	Eastern Grey Kangaroo	Macropus giganteus			Х
	Eastern Rosella	Platycercus eximius			X
	Fan-tailed Cuckoo	Cacomantis flabelliformis			Х
	Galah	Eolophus roseicapilla			Х
	Grey Fantail	Rhipidura albiscapa			Х
	Grey Shrike-thrush	Colluricincla harmonica			Х
*	House Sparrow	Passer domesticus			Х
	Little Raven	Corvus mellori			Х
	Magpie-lark	Grallina cyanoleuca			Х
	Masked Lapwing	Vanellus miles			Х
	New Holland Honeyeater	Phylidonyris novaehollandiae			Х
	Pobblebonk Frog	Limnodynastes dumerilii dumerilii			Х
	Rainbow Lorikeet	Trichoglossus molucannus			Х
	Red Wattlebird	Anthochaera carunculata			Х
	Red-browed Finch	Neochmia temporalis			х
	Spotted Marsh Frog (race unknown)	Limnodynastes tasmaniensis			х
	Straw-necked Ibis	Threskiornis spinicollis			Х
	Striated Thornbill	Acanthiza lineata			х
	Striped Marsh Frog	Limnodynastes peronii			х
	Superb Fairy-wren	Malurus cyaneus			х
	Welcome Swallow	Hirundo neoxena			х
	White-faced Heron	Egretta novaehollandiae			х
	Yellow-rumped Thornbill	Acanthiza chrysorrhoa			X

\* introduced, (P) = Planted (and not considered as native); X = recorded in study area during survey; This copied document to be made available FFG Act status: CE=critically endangered; EN=endangered; VU=vunerable; P= Protected flora species. Tor the sole purpose of enabling

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The documentation of the second state of the s purpose which may breach any <u>convright</u>
This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

### Appendix D: Likelihood of occurrence analysis of threatened flora

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	Number of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of occurrence
Basalt Peppercress	Lepidium hyssopifolium s.s.	EN	EN	Collected from scattered sites on the volcanic plain. Recent collections are from disturbed, rather weedy sites.	None	N/A	<b>Low -</b> No suitable habitat in the study area. No records in the search region.
Clover Glycine	Glycine latrobeana	VU	VU	Widespread but of sporadic occurrence and rarely encountered. Grows mainly in grasslands and grassy woodlands.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - Native grassy habitats in the study area were limited to areas of derived grassland, which were highly disturbed and supported a high cover of introduced flora. No records in the search region.
Green-striped Greenhood	Pterostylis chlorogramma	VU	EN	Apparently localised in Victoria, but exact range uncertain due to confusion with closely allied species. Grows in moist areas of heathy and shrubby forest, on well-drained soils.	None	N/A	<b>Low -</b> No suitable habitat in the study area. No records in the search region.
Grey Billy-buttons	Craspedia canens		CR	Known in Victoria only from grassland (often bordering swamps) at low altitude between Cranbourne and Traralgon.	1	22/12/2004	Low - No suitable habitat in the study area.
Maroon Leek-orchid	Prasophyllum frenchii	EN	EN	Broad distribution across southern Victoria, but rare. Occurs in grassland, heathland and open forest on well- drained or water-retentive sand or clay loams.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - Native grassy habitats in the study area were limited to areas of derived grassland, which were highly disturbed and supported a high cover of introduced flora. No records in the search region.
Matted Flax-lily	Dianella amoena	EN	CR	Lowland grasslands, grassy woodlands, valley grassy forest and creeklines of herb-rich woodlands.	2	22/12/2004	<b>Low</b> - Native grassy habitats in the study area were limited to areas of derived grassland, which were highly disturbed and supported a high cover of introduced flora. No Dianella species recorded during initial survey. Limited records in the search region.
River Swamp Wallaby-grass	Amphibromus fluitans	VU		Permanent swamps, lagoons, billabongs and dams.	1	22/02/1996	<b>Low</b> - Aquatic habitat in the study area is limited to a degraded drainage line and other small dams that lacked any floating, emergent or fringing vegetation.

Project number P511147 File Appendix D - Ecology.docx 2022-03-01 Revision 3

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	Number of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of occurrence
Strzelecki Gum	Eucalyptus strzeleckii	VU	CR	Largely restricted to the western section of the Strzelecki Range, from Neerim South in the north, south to Foster, and with a few isolated records from the Otway ranges. Favours ridges, slopes and streambanks and deep fertile soils.	2	19/10/2004	<b>Low -</b> No tree species that match the description of this species were recorded in the study area. All remnant trees in the study area were otherwise identified.
Swamp Everlasting	Xerochrysum palustre	VU	CR	Occurs in lowland swamps, usually on black cracking clay soils, scattered from near the South Australian border north- west of Portland to Bairnsdale district, but rare due to habitat depletion.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Swamp Fireweed	Senecio psilocarpus	VU		Rare, restricted in Victoria to a few herb- rich winter-wet swamps throughout the south of the state, west from Sale, growing on volcanic clays or peaty soils.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Thick-lip Spider- orchid	Caladenia tessellata	VU		Apparently confined to eastern Victoria from near-coastal heathy woodlands to open forests on well-drained sandy soils.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.

Legend: EPBC Act (Status under the EPBC Act): CR = critically endangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable; FFG Act (Status under the FFG Act): CR = critically endangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

### Appendix E: Likelihood of occurrence analysis of threatened fauna

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any

Common		EPBC	FFG		No. of records	Most recent	convright
Name	Scientific Name	Act	Act	Habitat preference	within 5km	record within 5km	Likelihood of occurrence
Birds		1	1				
Australasian Bittern	Botaurus poiciloptilus	EN	CR	Frequents reedbeds, and other vegetation in water such as cumbungi, lignum and sedges.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Australasian Shoveler	Spatula rhynchotis		VU	Found in all kinds of wetlands, preferring large undisturbed heavily vegetated freshwater swamps. It is also found on open waters and occasionally along the coast.	3	13/06/1987	<b>Low -</b> No suitable wetland habitats in the study area.
Australian Painted-snipe	Rostratula australis	EN	CR	Inhabits shallow terrestrial freshwater (occasionally brackish) wetlands, including temporary and permanent lakes, swamps and claypans. Also use inundated or waterlogged grassland or saltmarsh, dams, rice crops, sewage farms and bore drains.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Black-faced Monarch	Monarcha melanopsis	М		Rainforest ecosystems, including tropical, subtropical and cool temperate rainforest	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Blue-billed Duck	Oxyura australis		VU	Almost wholly aquatic. Non-breeding flocks congregate on large, deep open freshwater dams and lakes in autumn.	8	1/06/1979	<b>Low -</b> No suitable wetland habitats in the study area.
Caspian Tern	Hydroprogne caspia	М	VU	Widespread around the Australian coastline, and also occur inland along major rivers, especially in the Murray–Darling and Lake Eyre drainage basins.	3	3/12/2017	<b>Low</b> - No suitable habitat. Limited records in the search region.
Common Greenshank	Tringa nebularia	М	EN	Found in a wide variety of inland wetlands and sheltered coastal habitats of varying salinity, typically with large mudflats and saltmarsh, mangroves or seagrass.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Common Sandpiper	Actitis hypoleucos	М	VU	Utilises a wide range of coastal wetlands and some inland wetlands, with varying levels of salinity, and is mostly found around muddy margins or rocky shores and rarely on mudflats.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	No. of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of ocsurionse which may breach any convright
Curlew Sandpiper	Calidris ferruginea	CR, M	CR	Intertidal mudflats in sheltered coastal areas. Non-tidal swamps, lakes and lagoons near the coast, and ponds in saltworks and sewage farms.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Eastern Curlew	Numenius madagascariensis	CR, M	CR	Largest shorebird in Australia. Breeds in Russia and north-eastern China, arrives back to Australia in August to feed on crabs and molluscs in intertidal mudflats on the coast.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Eastern Great Egret	Ardea alba modesta		VU	Occurs in a wide range of wetland habitats including swamps and marshes; margins of rivers and lakes; damp or flooded grasslands, pastures or agricultural lands; reservoirs; sewage treatment ponds; drainage channels; salt marshes and mudflats.	3	22/06/2019	<b>Low</b> - No significant aquatic habitats occur in the study area, however the species may visit occasionally after particularly wet periods when areas may become inundated. Limited records in the search region.
Fork-tailed Swift	Apus pacificus	М		Almost exclusively aerial. In Australia, they mostly occur over inland plains but sometimes above foothills or in coastal areas	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. No records in the search region.
Grey Falcon	Falco hypoleucos	VU	VU	Usually restricted to shrubland, grassland and wooded watercourses of arid and semi-arid regions, although it is occasionally found in open woodlands near the coast.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. No records in the search region.
Hardhead	Aythya australis		VU	Found in freshwater swamps and wetlands and occasionally in sheltered estuaries	14	2/12/2018	<b>Low -</b> No suitable wetland habitats in the study area.
Latham's Snipe	Gallinago hardwickii	М		Occurs in a range of permanent and ephemeral wetlands including freshwater wetlands with low, dense vegetation (e.g. swamps, flooded grasslands or heathlands, around bogs and other water bodies)	6	1/09/1980	<b>Low</b> - Limited suitable aquatic habitat that would attract the species. No recent records in the search region.
Lewin's Rail	Lewinia pectoralis		VU	Freshwater to saline wetlands, either permanent or ephemeral.	1	22/12/2010	<b>Low</b> - No suitable wetland habitats in the study area. Limited records in the search region.
Little Eagle	Hieraaetus morphnoides		VU	Seen over woodland and forested lands and open country, extending into the arid zone. It tends to avoid rainforest and heavy forest.	4	1/03/1981	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. No recent records in the search region.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	No. of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of ocsurgense which may breach any convright
Little Egret	Egretta garzetta		EN	Tidal mudflats, saltwater and freshwater wetlands, and mangroves.	5	17/09/2018	<b>Low</b> - No preferred aquatic habitats in the study area.
Musk Duck	Biziura lobata		VU	Range of wetland habitats	4	1/03/1979	<b>Low</b> - No preferred aquatic habitats. No recent records in the search region
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	М		Occur in littoral and coastal habitats and terrestrial wetlands of tropical and temperate Australia and offshore islands. Found in coastal areas but occasionally travel inland along major rivers, particularly in northern Australia	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Painted Honeyeater	Grantiella picta	VU	VU	Found in dry open forests and woodlands, and is strongly associated with mistletoe.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Pectoral Sandpiper	Calidris melanotos	М		Prefers shallow fresh to saline wetlands and is found at coastal lagoons, estuaries, bays, swamps, lakes, inundated grasslands, saltmarshes, river pools, creeks, floodplains and artificial wetlands.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Plumed Egret	Ardea intermedia plumifera		CR	Prefers freshwater swamps, billabongs, floodplains and wet grasslands with dense aquatic vegetation, and is only occasionally seen in estuarine or intertidal habitats.	4	18/03/2018	<b>Low</b> - No preferred aquatic habitats in the study area.
Powerful Owl	Ninox strenua		VU	Occurs in open forests and woodlands, as well as along sheltered gullies in wet forests with dense understoreys, especially along watercourses. Will sometimes be found in open areas near forests such as parks and suburban areas. Needs old growth trees to nest.	1	11/07/1981	<b>Low -</b> No suitable habitat. Planted treed vegetation lacked observable hollows. No recent records in the search region.
Regent Honeyeater	Anthochaera phrygia	CR	CR	Primarily occurs in box-ironbark woodland, but also occurs in other forest types. Mainly feeds on nectar from eucalypts and mistletoes with movements governed by the flowering of select eucalypt species.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible -</b> No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Rufous Fantail	Rhipidura rufifrons	М		Inhabits wet sclerophyll forests, often in gullies dominated by tall eucalypts, usually with a dense shrubby understorey and ferns.	7	1/03/1980	<b>Low</b> - No suitable habitat. No recent records in the search region.

							This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.
Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	No. of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of ocsurpense which may breach any convright
Satin Flycatcher	Myiagra cyanoleuca	М		Inhabits heavily vegetated gullies in eucalypt- dominated forests and taller woodlands	6	21/04/1999	<b>Low</b> - No suitable habitat. No recent records in the search region.
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	Calidris acuminata	М		Prefers muddy edges of shallow fresh or brackish wetlands, with inundated or emergent sedges, grass, saltmarsh or other low vegetation.	1	1/12/1978	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No recent records in the search region.
Swift Parrot	Lathamus discolor	CR	CR	Breeds in Tasmania and overwinters in Victoria. Found in dry sclerophyll forests and woodlands, suburban parks and gardens where it feeds on the nectar of flowering eucalypts, namely Grey, Red Ironbark, Mugga Ironbark, Yellow Gum and White Box. Also feed on lerp psyllids amongst Red Gum.	None	N/A	<b>Low -</b> No remnant habitat. Small number of planted trees in the study area included Red Ironbark, but not enough to be considered to present a reliable food resource to the species. No records in the search region, and limited records in the broader central Gippsland region.
White-bellied Sea-Eagle	Haliaeetus Ieucogaster		EN	Distributed along the coastline of mainland Australia, also extending inland along some of the larger waterways.	1	23/06/1981	<b>Low</b> - No suitable habitat. No recent records in the search region.
White-throated Needletail	Hirundapus caudacutus	VU, M	VU	Almost exclusively aerial, over a wide variety of habitats.	13	2/03/1981	<b>Low</b> - No particular habitat features in the study area that would attract the species. No recent records in the search region.
Yellow Wagtail	Motacilla flava	м		Regular non-breeding visitor in northern Australia mainly spring-summer, vagrant to the south. Wide range of habitats, including areas with low vegetation, often recorded near water.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. No records in the search region.
Mammals							
Broad-toothed Rat	Mastacomys fuscus mordicus	VU	VU	Occurs in a range of habitat types, from alpine habitats to swamps. Habitat suitability largely determined by the availability of cover and food (grasses).	None	N/A	<b>Low -</b> No preferred habitat. No records in the search region.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	No. of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likelihood of ocsurionaet must not be used for any convright
Grey-headed Flying-fox	Pteropus poliocephalus	VU	VU	Requires foraging resources and roosting sites. The primary food source is blossom from Eucalyptus and related genera but commonly forages on fruit trees in urban areas.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - While planted trees in the study area provide potential food resources for the species, no remnant or extensive foraging habitat occurs within the study area. No known colonies in or adjacent to the study area. No records in the search region.
Long-nosed Potoroo (SE Mainland)	Potorous tridactylus tridactylus	VU	VU	Occurs mainly in coastal heathy woodland. In north of range occurs in rainforest adjacent to wet sclerophyll forest. Requires dense cover for shelter.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Southern Brown Bandicoot	lsoodon obesulus obesulus	EN	EN	Inhabits areas of dense ground cover in heathland, shrubland, sedgeland, heathy open forest and woodland. Suitable habitat includes any areas of vegetation (native or introduced) within the species range, that comprises an understorey vegetation structure with 50–80% foliage cover in the 0.2–1 m height range.	None	N/A	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. Planted vegetation in the study area lacks the dense understorey habitat required for this species. No records in the search region.
Southern Greater Glider	Petauroides volans	VU	VU	Typically found in highest abundance in taller, montane, moist eucalypt forests with relatively old trees and abundant hollows.	1	6/09/1915	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No recent records in the search region.
Spot-tailed Quoll	Dasyurus maculatus maculatus	EN	EN	Temperate and subtropical rainforests in mountain areas wet sclerophyll forest lowland forests open and closed eucalypt woodlands.	None	N/A	<b>Negligible</b> - No suitable habitat. No records in the search region.
Reptiles							
Glossy Grass Skink	Pseudemoia rawlinsoni		EN	Low dense vegetation in moist situations along the margins of swamps and watercourses.	1	29/11/1992	<b>Low</b> - No preferred habitat. Vegetation in the study area associated with watercourses is limited to low quality patches of native Rush along a narrow drainage line. These patches otherwise comprised and were surrounded by introduced pasture, and lacked connectivity to other vegetated habitats.

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

Common Name	Scientific Name	EPBC Act	FFG Act	Habitat preference	No. of records within 5km	Most recent record within 5km	Likeliho	The document must not be used od of openpagewhich may breach a convright	for a
Frogs									
Green and Golden Bell Frog	Litoria aurea	VU		Occurs in a range of still water and terrestrial habitats in the coastal plains and low foothills of the hinterland. Breeding habitat includes dams in both forested and cleared areas, swamps in farmlands, gravel pits, billabongs, marshes, coastal lagoon wetlands, wet swale herblands and isolated streamside pools.	None	N/A	to a deg dams th	quatic habitat in the study area is limited raded drainage line and other small at lacked any floating, emergent or vegetation. No records in the search	
Growling Grass Frog	Litoria raniformis	VU	VU	Persists in waterways and other aquatic habitats in the greater Melbourne region. Key habitat features for the species includes submerged vegetation for egg-laying, rocks and logs for basking, permanent freshwater lagoons for breeding and cracks, as well as debris and dense vegetation for refuge.	None	N/A	to a deg dams th	quatic habitat in the study area is limited raded drainage line and other small at lacked any floating, emergent or vegetation. No records in the search	
Fish									
Australian Grayling	Prototroctes maraena	VU	EN	Occurs in streams and rivers on the eastern and southern flanks of the Great Dividing Range, from Sydney, southwards to the Otway Ranges of Victoria and in Tasmania. The species is found in fresh and brackish waters of coastal lagoons.	None	N/A	to a deg dams th	quatic habitat in the study area is limited praded drainage line and other small at lacked any floating, emergent or vegetation. No records in the search	
Dwarf Galaxias	Galaxiella pusilla	VU	EN	Slow flowing, still shallow permanent and temporary freshwater habitats.	None	N/A	to a deg dams th	quatic habitat in the study area is limited praded drainage line and other small at lacked any floating, emergent or vegetation. No records in the search	
Flinders Pygmy Perch	Nannoperca sp. 1		VU	Inhabits slow or still waters with abundant aquatic vegetation, including lakes, ponds and slow-flowing rivers and creeks, along with pools in moderately-flowing streams.	3	14/10/2020	to a deg dams th fringing	quatic habitat in the study area is limited praded drainage line and other small at lacked any floating, emergent or vegetation. Records in the broader provided with the Traralgon Creek and River.	

Legend: EPBC Act (Status under the EPBC Act): CR = critically endangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable, M = migratory; FFG Act (Status under the FFG Act): CR = critically endangered, EN = endangered, EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable

# Appendix F: EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) Report



#### **EPBC Act Protected Matters Report**

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

#### Report created: 23/08/21 12:07:59

#### Summary Details Matters of NES Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act Extra Information Caveat **Acknowledgements**

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### **ADVERTISED PLAN**



This map may contain data which are ©Commonwealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

<u>Coordinates</u>	
Buffer: 5.0Km	

#### Summary

#### Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None		
National Heritage Places:	None		
Wetlands of International Importance:	1		
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None		
Commonwealth Marine Area:	None		
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	1		
Listed Threatened Species:	29		
Listed Migratory Species:	14		

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A <u>permit</u> may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	19
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	None
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None

#### Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	1
Invasive Species:	35
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	None

#### Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar)	[Resource Information]
Name	Proximity
Gippsland lakes	50 - 100km upstream

Listed Threatened Ecological Communities		[Resource Information]
For threatened ecological communities where the distril plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery community distributions are less well known, existing ve produce indicative distribution maps.	and other sources. Where	threatened ecological
Name	Status	Type of Presence
Gippsland Red Gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis subsp. mediana) Grassy Woodland and Associated Native Grassland	Critically Endangered	Community likely to occur within area
Listed Threatened Species		[Resource Information]
Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Anthochaera phrygia		
Regent Honeyeater [82338] Botaurus poiciloptilus	Critically Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Australasian Bittern [1001]	Endangered	Species or species habitat
	Endangered	likely to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpipe [856] This copied document to be made for the sole purpose of enab	ling.	Species or species habitat
	, as	may occur within area
part of a planning process und		
Falco hypoleucosPlanning and Environment AcGrey Falcon [929]The document must not be used purpose which may breach convright	førlærable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Grantiella picta		
Painted Honeyeater [470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
<u>Hirundapus caudacutus</u>		
White-throated Needletail [682]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Lathamus discolor		
Swift Parrot [744]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis		
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Rostratula australis		
Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fish		
Galaxiella pusilla		
Eastern Dwarf Galaxias, Dwarf Galaxias [56790]	Vulnerable	Species or species

	ADVER	ISED	PLAN	
	This copied document to be mad	_		
Name	for the sole purpose of ena	b <b>Sitag</b> as		Type of Presence
	its consideration and revie			habitat likely to occur within
	part of a planning process ur	nder the		area
Prototroctes ma	part of a planning process ur raenellanning and Environment A ing [20179]	ct,1987.		Onaciae exercice hebitat
Australian Gray	The document must not be use	d for any		Species or species habitat may occur within area
	purpose which may breac			may occur within area
Frogs	convright			
<u>Litoria aurea</u>				
Green and Gold	len Bell Frog [1870]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Litoria raniformi				
	Frog, Southern Bell Frog, Green and /arty Swamp Frog, Golden Bell Frog	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Mammals				
Dasyurus macu	latus maculatus (SE mainland population	on)		
Spot-tailed Quo	II, Spotted-tail Quoll, Tiger Quoll nainland population) [75184]	Endangered		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Isoodon obesul Southern Browr	us obesulus n Bandicoot (eastern), Southern Brown	Endangered		Species or species habitat
	h-eastern) [68050]	Lindungered		may occur within area
Mastacomys fue				
Broad-toothed F	Rat (mainland), Tooarrana [87617]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Petauroides vol	ans			
Greater Glider [	254]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
	tylus tridactylus			
Long-nosed Pot	toroo (SE Mainland) [66645]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pteropus polioc Grey-headed Fl		Vulnerable		Foraging, feeding or related
		Vaniorabio		behaviour may occur within area
Plants				
Amphibromus fl				
River Swamp W Wallaby-grass [	/allaby-grass, Floating Swamp 19215]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Caladenia tesse	ellata ider-orchid, Daddy Long-legs [2119]	Vulnerable		Species or species hebitat
mick-lipped Sp	ider-oroniu, Daduy Long-legs [2119]	vullerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
<u>Dianella amoen</u>	—			
Matted Flax-lily	[64886]	Endangered		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Eucalyptus strz		Mule and I		Onesies en en el la bitat
Strzelecki Gum	[ວວ400]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Glycine latrobea				
	Purple Clover [13910]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lepidium hysso	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Basalt Pepper-o cress, Pepperw	cress, Peppercress, Rubble Pepper- eed [16542]	Endangered		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Prasophyllum fr				
	rchid, Slaty Leek-orchid, Stout Leek- s Leek-orchid, Swamp Leek-orchid	Endangered		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterostylis chlor				
Green-striped G	Greenhood [56510]	Vulnerable		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
				likely to occur within area

Name		Status	Type of Presence
Senecio psilocarpus			
Swamp Fireweed, Smooth-fr	ruited Groundsel [64976]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Xerochrysum palustre			
Swamp Everlasting, Swamp	Paper Daisy [76215]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Listed Migratory Species			[Resource Information]
* Species is listed under a di	fferent scientific name on	the EPBC Act - Threatene	d Species list.
Name		Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds			
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]			Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Terrestrial Species	1		
Hirundapus caudacutus			
White-throated Needletail [68	32]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Monarcha melanopsis			
Black-faced Monarch [609]	ADVERTIS	SED PLAN	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Motacilla flava			
Yellow Wagtail [644]	for the sole purp		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Myiagra cyanoleuca	its consideration	n and review as	
Satin Flycatcher [612]	part of a planning	process under the	Breeding known to occur
Satir Plycatcher [012]	Planning and Envi		within area
Bhipidura rufifrons	The document must		
Rufous Fantail [592]	purpose which i	nay breach any	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species			
Actitis hypoleucos			
Common Sandpiper [59309]			Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Calidris acuminata			
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	l		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea			
Curlew Sandpiper [856]		Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos			
Pectoral Sandpiper [858]			Species or species habitat may occur within area
Gallinago hardwickii			
Latham's Snipe, Japanese S	nino (962)		Species or species habitat
Lainam's Shipe, Japanese S	nipe [oo3]		likely to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis			
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern	Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pandion haliaetus			
Osprey [952]			Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tringa nebularia			
Common Greenshank, Green	nshank [832]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

#### Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species		[Resource Information]
* Species is listed under a diffe	rent scientific name on the EPBC Act	- Threatened Species list.
Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos		
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
		ç
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat
	This copied document to be ma	
	for the sole purpose of en	
Ardea ibis	its consideration and revi	
Cattle Egret [59542]	part of a planning process u	
	Planning and Environment A	
Calidris acuminata	The document must not be us	ed for any
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	purpose which may bread	h any Species or species habitat
	convright	may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea		
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically En	
		may occur within area
<u>Calidris melanotos</u>		
Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat
		may occur within area
Gallinago hardwickii		
Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snip	pe [863]	Species or species habitat
		likely to occur within area
Haliaeetus leucogaster		
White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943]		Species or species habitat
		known to occur within area
Hirundapus caudacutus		
White-throated Needletail [682]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat
		known to occur within area
Lathamus discolor		
Swift Parrot [744]	Critically En	
		likely to occur within area
Merops ornatus		
Rainbow Bee-eater [670]		Species or species habitat
		may occur within area
Monarcha melanopsis		
Black-faced Monarch [609]		Species or species habitat
		likely to occur within area
Motacilla flava		
Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat
		may occur within area
Myiagra cyanoleuca		
Satin Flycatcher [612]		Breeding known to occur
Numenius madagascariensis		within area
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern C	urlew [847] Critically En	dangered Species or species habitat
		may occur within area
Pandion haliaetus		
Osprey [952]		Species or species habitat
		likely to occur within area

Project number P511147 File Appendix D - Ecology.docx 2022-03-01 Revision 3

	_	
Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Rhipidura rufifrons		
Rufous Fantail (592)		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato)		
Painted Snipe [889]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tringa nebularia		
Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

#### Extra Information

Regional Forest Agreements	[Resource Information]
Note that all areas with completed RFAs have been included.	
Name	State
Gippsland RFA	Victoria
Invasive Species	[Resource Information]
Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), alon that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significa following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Be	ant threat to biodiversity. The

Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resouces Audit, 2001.

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Acridotheres tristis		
Common Myna, Indian Myna	a [387]	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Alauda arvensis		
Skylark [656]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Anas platyrhynchos Mallard [974]	This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carduelis carduelis	part of a planning process under the	
European Goldfinch [403]	Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carduelis chloris	convright	
European Greenfinch [404]	CINVIOII	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Columba livia		
Rock Pigeon, Rock Dove, D	omestic Pigeon [803]	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Passer domesticus		
House Sparrow [405]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Passer montanus		
Eurasian Tree Sparrow [406	1	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

#### Name Pycnonotus jocosus Red-whiskered Bulbul [631]

Streptopelia chinensis Spotted Turtle-Dove [780]

Sturnus vulgaris Common Starling [389]

Turdus merula Common Blackbird, Eurasian Blackbird [596]

Turdus philomelos Song Thrush [597]

#### Mammals

Bos taurus Domestic Cattle [16]

Canis lupus familiaris Domestic Dog [82654]

Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19]

Lepus capensis Brown Hare [127]

Mus musculus House Mouse [120]

Oryctolagus cuniculus Rabbit, European Rabbit [128]

Rattus rattus Black Rat, Ship Rat [84]

Sus scrofa Pig [6]

Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18]

Status

Type of Presence

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

ADVERTISED PLAN Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

> Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

> Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

> Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

> Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Species or species habitat may occur within area

Species or species habitat may occur within area

Species or species habitat likely to occur

#### Plants

Asparagus asparagoides Bridal Creeper, Bridal Veil Creeper, Smilax, Florist's Smilax, Smilax Asparagus [22473]

Carrichtera annua Ward's Weed [9511]

Chrysanthemoides monilifera Bitou Bush, Boneseed [18983]

Chrysanthemoides monilifera subsp. monilifera Boneseed [16905]

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any

purpose which may breach any

#### Status

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Cytisus scoparius		
Broom, English Broom, Scotch Broom, Commor Broom, Scottish Broom, Spanish Broom [5934]	1	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista linifolia		
Flax-leaved Broom, Mediterranean Broom, Flax [2800]	Broom	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista monspessulana		
Montpellier Broom, Cape Broom, Canary Broom Common Broom, French Broom, Soft Broom [20		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista sp. X Genista monspessulana		
Broom [67538]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lycium ferocissimum		
African Boxthorn, Boxthorn [19235]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Olea europaea		
Olive, Common Olive [9160]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Rubus fruticosus aggregate		
Blackberry, European Blackberry [68406]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Salix spp. except S.babylonica, S.x calodendron	& S.x reichardtii	
Willows except Weeping Willow, Pussy Willow a	nd	Species or species habitat
Sterile Pussy Willow [68497]		likely to occur within area
	TISED PLAN	
Ulex europaeus		
Gorse, Furze [7693]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

#### Coordinates

-38.2775 146.42389

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales -Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria -Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania -Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia -Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory -Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland -Department of Parks and Wildlife. Western Australia -Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT -Birdlife Australia -Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme -Australian National Wildlife Collection -Natural history museums of Australia -Museum Victoria -Australian Museum -South Australian Museum -Queensland Museum -Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums -Queensland Herbarium -National Herbarium of NSW -Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria -Tasmanian Herbarium -State Herbarium of South Australia -Northern Territory Herbarium -Western Australian Herbarium -Australian National Herbarium, Canberra -University of New England -Ocean Biogeographic Information System -Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW -Geoscience Australia -CSIRO -Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns -eBird Australia -Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre -Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory -Australian Government National Environmental Science Program -Australian Institute of Marine Science -Reef Life Survey Australia -American Museum of Natural History -Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania -Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania -Other groups and individuals

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the <u>Contact Us</u> page.

© Commonwealth of Australia Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment GPO Box 858 Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia +61 2 6274 1111

# Appendix G: WESS concept design





# Appendix H: Certificate of accredited VQA assessor

# Certificate of Competency



# Vegetation Quality Assessment (Habitat Hectares) Competency Check

#### This certifies that

Justin Sullivan

has met the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning standard for conducting Vegetation Quality Assess **Then top(weldpointed)** to be made available

Date of expiry: 30/01/2022

for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any **ADVERTISED PLAN** 

Admicamp

Penny Croucamp Manager, Native Vegetation Regulations



# Appendix I: WESS VQA Data sheets

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegeta	ation				ssessmer	nt Sheet		Sustaina	rtment of bility and vironment
HZ /	4.			1.0	DRGEN .	1210	9/9/21		
ite Name/No.			Loc	ation		. Date	1/ 1/ -1		+
ssessor(s)JS ·			Map	Name/No.		AMG / MC	54		
enure	EVC	55	5.			Bioregion	G-P		
			'Site	e Condit	tion Score				
				0					
arge Trees		Score	8		Understor	ey Life form	15		
Category & Description	>		мару Не 30-70%	alth* < 30%	LF Code from EVC	# spp observed / Benchmark	% cover observed / Benchmark	Present	Modified
None present		0	0	0	benchmark	spp.	% cover	(*)	(*)
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark num	ber of	3		1	_ 17	1	1	./	
large trees/ha		3	2	1	To		1015	1	
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha		4	3	2	-M	112	10/10	1	
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark.					PC	111	51	~	
number of large trees/ha		6	5	4	LH	1	1		
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark		8	7	6	NH	1	/		
number of large trees/ha > the benchmark number of large			*		SH	1	1		
trees/ha		10	9	8	LTG-	1	1		
Large trees are defined by diameter at t	breast height	t (dbh)			WA	1 10	1 1 25		
- see EVC benchmark.	and the second			2.00	MtG	119	1 135	×	
* Estimate proportion of an expected be	ealthy canpre	V COMPT H	hat is new	ent	4 10 - 10	1 1	1		
* Estimate proportion of an expected he (i.e. not missing due to tree death or de				ent	wh	1	1		
				ent	BL		1	3	
								3.	
(i.e. not missing due to tree death or de		cletoe inf	(estation).					3.	
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de		cletoe inf	estation).	0			/ / / / / th benchmark cove		msidered
(i.e. not missing due to tree death or de	scline, or mis	Scon % Ca	(estation).	0	61	/ / / / For I/Fe forms wit 'present' if • any speciment		- 	onsidered
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de	scline, or mis	Scon % Ca	estation), e unopy He	0 atth *		'present' if • any speciment For life forms wit			
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de ree Canopy Cover Category & Description	ecline, or mis	Scon % Ca 70%	e mapy He 30-70%		61	'present' if • any specimene For life forms wit 'present' if • the life form of	s are observed. It benchmark cove	r of ≥ 10%, co % of benchme	nsidered
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de ree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover	cover	Scon % Ca 70%	e Inopy He 30-70%	0	61	'present' if • any specimene For life forms wit 'present' if • the life form of For life forms with	s are observed. It benchmark cove ccupies at least 10 It benchmark cove	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchme r of <10%, the	nsidered
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co 2 50% or < 150% of benchmark co Tree canopy is defined as those canopy	cover over tree species	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5	e mapy He 30-70% 0 2 4	0 1 3	Present	'present' if • any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if • the life form of For life form wit substantially 'moo • < 50% of the	s are observed. It benchmark cove ccupies at least 10 th benchmark cove diffed' if the life for benchmark specie	er of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma er of <10%, the rm has either: s diversity; or	nsidered irk cover, en considered
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de <b>Tree Canopy Cover</b> <i>Category &amp; Description</i> < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co	20ver 2ver 1.	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching	e mapy He <u>30-70%</u> 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of	0 1 3 1 1 3	Present Modified (apply only	"present" if any speciment For life forms wit "present" if the life forms wit substantially "mo < 50% of the no reproducth For life forms will	s are observed. It benchmark cove crupies at least 10 th benchmark cove dified if the life for benchmark specie whomark cove th benchmark cove	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, thi m has either: s diversity; or tens are observed r of ≥ 10%, th	insidered ink.cover. en.considered ved.
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark description	cover over by the species by the spe	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th	e e anapy He <u>30-70%</u> 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres	0 1 3 1 1 3	Present	"present" if any speciment For life forms with "present" if the life form of For life forms with substantially "mo < 50% of the no reproducth For life forms with substantially "mo	s are observed. It benchmark cove ccupies at least 10 th benchmark cove dified' if the life for benchmark specie rely-mature specin th benchmark cove dified' if the life for	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, thi m has either: s diversity; or tens are observed r of ≥ 10%, th	insidered ink.cover. en.considered ved.
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark corr Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark descriptor * Estimate proportion of an expected b	cover over by the species by the spe	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th	e e anapy He <u>30-70%</u> 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres	0 1 3 1 1 3	Present Modified (apply only where afte	'present' if any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if the life forms wit substantially 'mo < 50% of the no reproducth For life forms wit substantially 'mo < < 50% of ben < < 50% of ben	s are observed. In benchmark cove couples at least 10 th benchmark cove dified' if the life for benchmark species dified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover; or	er of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchme er of <10%, thi rm has either: s diversity; or r of ≥ 10%, th rm has either: versity; or	nsidered Irk cover, en considered ved, en considered
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark of Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark description * Estimate proportion of an expected he (Le. not missing due to bree death or de	cover over over over over over over over	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stetoe inf	e e anapy He <u>30-70%</u> 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres	0 1 3 1 1 3	Present Modified (apply only where life form is	'present' if any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if the life forms wit substantially 'ma < 50% of then < 50% of ben < 50% of ben	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 th benchmark cove dified' if the life for benchmark species of the life for chmark cover, or chmark species di chmark cover or chmark species di chmark cover of the cover of repr	er of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, thi m has either: s diversity; or tens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, thi rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oluctively-mat	nsidered irk.cover en considered ved. en considered intoure canoov
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co > 50% or > 150% of benchmark co > 50% or > 150% of benchmark co > 50% or > 150% of benchmark description * Estimate proportion of an expected h (Le. not missing due to tree death or de Lack of Weeds	cover over over over over over over over	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stletoe inf	e e anapy He <u>30-70%</u> 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres	2000 akth * < 30% 0 1 3 mahure ent	Present Modified (apply only where life form is	'present' if any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if the life forms wit substantially 'ma < 50% of then < 50% of ben < 50% of ben	s are observed. In benchmark cove couples at least 10 th benchmark cove dified if the life for benchmark specie rely-mature specin th benchmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover; de	er of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, thi m has either: s diversity; or tens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, thi rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oluctively-mat	nsidered irk.cover en considered ved. en considered intoure canoov
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark of Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark description * Estimate proportion of an expected he (Le. not missing due to bree death or de	cover over over over over over over over	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stetce inf	estation). encopy Hes 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres festation).	2000 akth * < 30% 0 1 3 mahure ent	Present Modified (apply only where life form is	'present' if any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if the life forms wit substantialy'mo < 50% of ben < 10% of this specimens but is < 10% of this <	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 th benchmark cove dified' if the life for benchmark species of the life for chmark cover, or chmark species di chmark cover or chmark species di chmark cover of the cover of repr	er of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, thi rm has either: s diversity; or tens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, thi rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oluctively-mat	nsidered irk.cover en considered ved. en considered intoure canoov
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co > 50% or > 150% of be	ccline, or mis	Scon % Ca 70% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stetce inf	estation). mapy Hes 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres festation). eat' weeka	2 aktr * < 30% 0 1 3 mature ent 2	Modified (apply only where life form is 'present')	'present' if any speciment For life forms wit 'present' if the life forms wit substantialy'mo < 50% of ben < 10% of this specimens but is < 10% of this <	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 th benchmark cove dified' if the life for benchmark species of the life for chmark cover, or chmark species di chmark cover or chmark species di chmark cover of the cover of repr	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, th rm has either: s diversity; or largely to imm bayety to imm bayety-mab er.	nsidered irk.cover en considered ved. en considered intoure canoov
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or = 150% of benchmark of 2 50% of benchmark of 2 50% of benchmark of benchmark of 2 50% o	ccline, or mis cover cover tree species tree species tree species Aver None	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 5 s reaching streaching streaching there into thigh three bigh three (	estation). mapy Hes 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres festation). eat' weeka	2 abb * < 30% 0 1 3 mature ent 5° > 50%	Modified (apply only where affe form is 'present') Understor Category &	'present' if - any speciment For life forms wit- 'present' if - the life forms wit- substantially 'ma- - < 50% of ben- -  -	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cove diffed? If the life for benchmark species diffed? If the life for chmark cover, or chmark species di chmark cover of repri- he benchmark cover	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, th rm has either: s diversity; or largely to imm bayety to imm bayety-mab er.	nsidered irk.cover en considered ved. en considered intoure canoov
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of ≥ 50% or > 150% of benchmark of Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark descriptor * Estimate proportion of an expected h (Le. not missing due to tree death or de category & Description > 50% cover of weeds	20ver	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 5 6 maching y cover the stitute inf	e mapy He 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres festation). at' weed 50%	2 30% 0 1 3 mature ent 5° 50% 0	Present Modified (apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and	'present' if - any speciment For life forms wit- 'present' if - the life forms wit- substantially 'mo- < 50% of the - < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- > 10% of ben- > 50% of ben- > 10% of ben- > 50% of ben- > 10% of ben- > 50% of ben- > 20% of ben-	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cove diffed? If the IRF for benchmark species diffed? If the IRF for chmark cover; or chmark species di chmark cover; or chmark cover of repr he benchmark cover the cover of repr he benchmark cover three benchmark cover three benchmark cover	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, th rm has either: s diversity; or largely to imm bayety to imm bayety-mab er.	nsidered irk <u>cover</u> , en considered vod. en considered intoure canopy ure specimens
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of ≥ 50% or > 150% of benchmark of Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark description * Estimate proportion of an expected by (Le. not missing due to tree death or de Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds	20ver	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 s maching y cover the stitute inf	e trapy He 30-70% 0 2 4 ⇒ 80% of hat is pres festation). 50% 2 5 5 5	2 avbr * < 30% 0 1 3 mature erre 5r* 50% 0 4	B Present Modified (apply only where its form is "present") Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% c ≥ 50% to 50	'present' if - any speciment For life forms wit- 'present' if - the life forms wit- substantially 'mo- < 50% of then- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- > 50% of ben-	a are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cove diffed' if the life for benchmark species diffed if the life for chmark species di- chmark cover do chmark cover of the life for chmark cover of the life for chmark cover of the life for the life	tr of $\ge$ 10%, co 1% of benchma tr of <10%, this m has either: s diversity; or hars are observed tr of $\ge$ 10%, this m has either: wersity; or largely to immoductively-mab er. Score Serve Serve, $\ge$ 50%	nsidered ink coveren considered ved. en considered inabure canopy ure specimens 5 0 5
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark of 2 50% or < 150% of benchmark of ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 5 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover o	cover over over over over over over over	Scon           % Ca           % Ca           70%           0           3           5           is reaching           y cover the           bit           (           ::           ::           ::	e mapy He 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of hat is pres festation). 2 4 50% 6 9 13 C benchm	Imath *           < 30%	Modified (apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o	'present' if - any speciment For life forms wit- 'present' if - the life forms wit- substantially 'mo- < 50% of then- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- > 50% of ben-	s are observed. In benchmark cover cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cover diffed' if the life for chmark species of the life for chmark species di- chmark cover of the life for chmark cover due to benchmark cover diffed' if the life for chmark cover due to benchmark cover the benchmark cover diffed' the life for the li	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchmy r of <10%, thi m has either: s diversity; or largely to imm oductively-mabler. Score sent, ≥ 50% modified	nsidered ark coveren considered wed. en considered nature canopy ure specimens 5 0 5 10
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark of > 50% or > 150% of benchmark of > 50% or > 150% of benchmark descriptor * Estimate proportion of an expected th (Le. not missing due to tree death or de cack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds < 10% one death or de * interaction of weeds are defined a non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability reduce one or more indigenous life form	coline, or mis cover over tree species tree species tree species weathy canop edithy canop edithy canop edithy canop edithy canop for an an an an an an an an an an an an an a	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 is reaching y cover the stitute inf thigh three ( is - see EV so see Ev so s s s see Ev so see Ev so s see Ev so see Ev so s see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so see Ev so s see Ev so see Ev So s So s s s So s s s s see Ev So s s s s s see Ev so s s s s see Ev so see Ev so s s s so s s s so so s s so s s s s	e tragpy He 30-70% 0 2 4 9 ≥ 80% of 1 2 50% 1 2 5 9 13 C benchmo secies (incl substants	abb *           < 30%	B Present Modified (apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% c ≥ 50% to 90 present	'present' if       • any speciment       For life forms with       'present' if       • the life forms with       substantially 'mo       • < 50% of then	s are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cove diffed' if the life for benchmark cover, or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover; or ichmark cover of repri- he benchmark cover the cover of repri- he benchmark cover through the life for its cover of repri- tement substantially of those pre- substantially	r of ≥ 10%, co 1% of benchma r of <10%, the m has either: s diversity; or largely to imm oductively-mab er. Score sent, ≥ 50% modified sent, < 50% modified	nsidered ark coveren considered wed. en considered nature canopy ure specimens 5 0 5 10
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark or ≥ 50% or > 150% of benchmark or Tree canopy is defined as those canopy height - see EVC benchmark description * Estimate proportion of an expected by tile, not missing due to tree death or de category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds** * proportion of ised cover due to high t High threat' weed species are defined a non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability reduce one or more indigenous life form current site characteristics and disturba The EVC benchmark lists typical weeds	scline, or mis	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stitute inf stitute inf stitute inf ( ( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	etation). empoy He mapy He 30-70% 0 2 4 9 > 80% of 2 4 9 > 80% of 1 2 50% 9 13 C benchmore secies (inclusion) the biorest	abb *           < 30%	B Present Modified (apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% c ≥ 50% to 90 present	'present' if - any speciment For life forms wit- 'present' if - the life forms wit- substantially 'mo- < 50% of then- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- < 50% of ben- > 50% of ben-	a are observed. In benchmark cove crupies at least 10 In benchmark cove diffied if the life for chmark species diffied if the life for chmark species dichmark cover do chmark cover do chmark cover do chmark cover do the life for chmark cover do chmark cover do the life for chmark cover do the life for the cover of repri- he benchmark cover the cover of repri- tively absent the cover of repri- tively absent the cover of those pre- substantially of those pre- substantially	tr of $\ge$ 10%, co 1% of benchma tr of <10%, this m has either: s diversity; or largely to immode oductively-mable tr. Score Sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $\le$ 50% r modified	nsidered ark cover en considered an co
(Le. not missing due to tree death or de Tree Canopy Cover Category & Description < 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark co 2 50% or > 150% of benchmark descriptor * Estimate proportion of an expected h (Le. not missing due to tree death or de Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 5 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 4 * proportion of weed cover due to high t * proportion of weed species are defined a non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability reduce one or more indigenous life form current site characteristics and disturbar	conver cover cove	Scon % Ca 20% 0 3 5 s reaching y cover th stitute inf stitute inf stitute inf ( ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	e rrapy He 30-70% 0 2 4 9 2 80% of 2 4 9 2 80% of 13 C benchmore southants southants southants southants southants southants southants southants the bioregeneral, the	abb *           < 30%	B Present Modified (apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% c ≥ 50% to 90 present	'present' if       • any speciment       For life forms with       'present' if       • the life forms with       substantially 'mo       • < 50% of then	a are observed. In benchmark cove cruptes at least 10 In benchmark cove diffied if the life for chmark species diffied if the life for chmark species diffied if the life for chmark cover do chmark cover do the life for chmark cover do the life for chmark cover do the life for chmark cover do the life for the cover of repr he benchmark cover the cov	r of $\ge$ 10%, co 1% of benchms r of <10%, this m has either: s diversity; or heres are obsen r of $\ge$ 10%; this m has either: wersity; or largely to imm oductively-mabi- er. Score sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $<$ 50% r modified sent, $<$ 50% r modified	nsidered ark cover en considered an co

Victoria

#### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.3 - October 2004

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Category &	Description		di	High	eo Low		Woody species recorded in habitat zone Adequi Recruitm										
	within EVC not d	riven by epi		O	0		Eucalypt	10000	202			1910	100050		-201	(1)	12540
No evidence of a remaitment within EVC		clear evide appropriat episodic e	e	0	0			bl	ad	ku	uer	oel				/	/
recruitment 'cohort'*	tt within EVC episodic event driven by episodic events^ evidence of appropriate episodic event		of	5 5													
at least one	proportion of native woody species present	< 30		3	1			_									
'cohort' in at least one life-form	that have adequate recruitment <sup>o</sup>	≥ 70	1000	10	G	)	number o	fwoody	sop. ir	n EVC b	enchm	ark (SS	5 and ta	aller)			
<ul> <li>include suppresentation</li> <li>refer to EVC</li> <li>treat multiple</li> </ul>	ers to a group of wo assed canopy specie C benchmark for clar le eucalypt canopy s ty defined as $\geq$ 50%	s individuals) ification. pecies as one	species.			Ļ	ogs					Larn	e logs	Sca	_	e logs	)
- ingit at tal at	4		a meral of		0		Categor	y & D	escrip	otion			ent*			sent"	
Organic L	itter	1	Sco	ore	3		< 10% c						0			0	
Category 8	Description		Dominate native or	ganic	Dominated	by	< 50% o ≥ 50% o	f benc	hmark	length	1		3 5			2	
< 10% of b	< 10% of benchmark cover				organic litt 0		Large log * present										e dbh
	150% of benchm	nark cover	G	)	2		# absent	if large	log len	gth is <	: 25% (	of EVC	benchr	nark k	ig lengt	th,	
	150% of benchmi		5		4												
Patch Size	a.	S		Lan	dscap	e Cont			रू स	e Are	a		Scon	0	[	0	
Patch Size	e k Description	s	core				oistand		Core	ore An	ea not		Score /	Area	[	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha	Description	5			1	D	Distance		Core		ea not antly			Area	[	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a	Description	5			1	D	Distance Skm		Core	ore An signific disturt 0	ea not antly bed*		Core / signific distur	Area cantly bed*	[	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha	a Description	5			 1 2	<b>D</b> <b>D</b> > 1	istance 5 km to 5 km		Core	ore An signific disturt 0 2	ea not antly bed*		Core / signific distur	Area cantly bed*	[	0	
Category & < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10	a Description				1 2 4	<b>D</b> i > 1' <	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km	ce to	Core	ore An signific disturt 0 2 4	ea not antly bed*		Core / signific distur 0 1 3	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but	and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha	urbed*			1 2 4 6	Di > 1 < 00	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	ce to	Core	ore Arv signific disturt 0 2 4 5	ea not antly bed*		Core / signific distur	Area cantly bed*	[	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but * 'significantly	and 5 ha and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined	urbed"* disturbed'* zs per RIFA '0	ld Growth' a	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading	D > 11 < 000 *	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km	ce to	Core	ore Arv signific disturt 0 2 4 5	ea not antly bed*		Core / signific distur 0 1 3	Area cantly bed*	[	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but * 'significantly coupes, grazin	and 5 ha and 5 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined ig etc. – effectively	urbed"* disturbed** es per RIA '0 most patches	ld Growth' a within fragi	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading	D > 11 < 000 *	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	s per R	Core C 1	ore Arv signific disturt 0 2 4 5	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core / signific distur 1 3 4	Area cantly bed*	-	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but * 'significantly	and 5 ha and 5 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined ig etc. – effectively	urbed"* disturbed** es per RIA '0 most patches	ld Growth's within frag	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading	D > 11 < 000 *	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	s per R	Core Core FA Yold	ore An signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core i signific disturt 1 3 4 Sco	Area cantly bed* ore 'La	_	xt	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined in gretc. – effectively in rhood % Native	urbed"* disturbed"* es per RFA '0 most patches Si	Id Growth' a within fragi	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading	D > 11 < 000 *	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	s per R	Core Core FA Yold	ore An signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core i signific disturt 1 3 4 Sco	Area cantly bed* ore 'La	ndsc	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly, coupes, grazif Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined in gretc. – effectively in rhood % Native	urbed* disturbed* ss per RIA '0 most patches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0	Id Growth' a within fragi core nting 13 14	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading		Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	s per R F	Core Core FA Yold	ore An signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core i signific disturt 1 3 4 Sco	Area cantly bed* ore 'La	ndsc	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly, coupes, grazif Neighbou Radius from site 100 m	and 5 ha and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined in g etc. – effectively i rhood % Native vegetation	urbed* disturbed* ss per RFA '0 most patches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0	Id Growth' a within fragi core nting 13 14 13	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading		S km to 5 km 1 km nntiguous defined a	s per R F	Core Core FA Yold ina e Cor	ore Ann signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H :	ea not antly bed*	sses.	Core i signific disturt 1 3 4 Sco	Area cantly bed* ore 'La	ndsc	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly, coupes, grazif Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km	and 5 ha and 5 ha and 20 ha "significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined of etc. – effectively <b>rhood</b> % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	urbed** disturbed** ss per RFA '0 most potches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	core Id Growth' i within fragi core nting 13 14 13 thood is d'	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading		S km to 5 km 1 km nntiguous defined a	s per R F	Core Core FA Yold ina e Cor	ore Ann signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H :	ea not antly bed*	sses.	Core / signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	ore 'La	ndsc	e'	Tatal
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but * 'significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist isot 'significantly disturbed' defined i gretc. – effectively i rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the 'significant	urbed** disturbed** ss per RFA '0 most potches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Id Growth' a within frage core nting 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	amalyses	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading	D > 11 < 000 *	Distance istance 5 km to 5 km 1 km antiguous	s per R	Core Core FA Yold	ore An signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed*	ses. tat	Core i signific disturt 1 3 4 Sco	Area cantly bed* ore 'La	ndsc	xt	Tatal
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but significantly coupes, grazi Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km * to nearest 2 Multiply % ne	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist isot 'significantly disturbed' defined i gretc. – effectively i rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the 'significant	urbed** disturbed** ss per RFA '0 most patches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	ld Growth' i within frag core nting 13 14 13 hood Is d' ues and J-off"	analyses and the second	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. reading landscapes.		S km to 5 km 1 km antiguous defined a	s per R F	Core Core FA Yold ina e Cor	ore Ann signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H :	ea not antly bed*	sses.	Core / signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	ore 'La	ndsc	e'	10 //
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but significantly coupes, grazi Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km * to nearest 2 Multiply % ne	Description     Ind 5 ha     Ind 10 ha     and 20 ha     'significantly dist     rot 'significantly     disturbed' defined i     g etc. – effectively i     rhood     % Native     vegetation     subtract 2 if thi     'significant      wegetation x We     use the vegetation x We     subtract y then add	urbed** disturbed** ss per RFA '0 most patches Si Weigt 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	ld Growth' i within frag core nting 13 14 13 hood Is d' ues and J-off"	analyses and the second	1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. reading landscapes.	Component	S km to 5 km 1 km antiguous defined a	s per R F	Core Core FA Yold ina e Cor	ore Ann signific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H :	ea not antly bed*	ses. tat	Core / signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	ore 'La	ndsc	e'	10

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegeta	tion Quali <sub>Ver</sub>	sion 1.3 - Oct	ssessmer ober 2004 ORGEN	Date	9/9/21	Sustaina	rtment of bility and vironment
r(s)II	EVC	Map Name/No. 55		AMG / MC Bioregion	GP.		
		'Site Condi	tion Score'				
Trees	Score	0	Understore	ey Life form	IS		
ory & Description		opy Health* 2-70% < 30%	LF Code from EVC	# spp observed /	% cover observed /	Present	Modified

ange mees					
	% Canopy Health*				
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%		
None present	0	0	0		
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	3	2	1		
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	3	2		
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	6	5	4		
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	8	7	6		
≥ the benchmark number of large trees/ha	10	9	8		

Large trees are defined by diameter at breast height (dbh) - see EVC benchmark.

Site Nan Assesso Tenure

Large

\* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistletoe infestation).

Free Canopy Cover	Sco	re	0
Colores & Description	96 6	Canopy Hea	with *
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	2	1
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4	3

Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree species reaching  $\geq$  80% of mature height - see EVC benchmark description. \* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistletce infestation).

ack of Weeds	Score					
Category & Description	None	≤ 50%	> 50%			
> 50% cover of weeds	4	Q	0			
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	6	4			
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11	9	7			
< 5% cover of weeds**	15	13	11			

\* proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat' weeds - see EVC benchmark for guide. 'High threat' weed species are defined as those introduced species (including non-indigenous' natives!) with the obligs to out-compete and substantially reduce one or more indigenous life forms in the longer term assuming on-going current site characteristics and disturbance regime.

The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their "invasiveness" and "impact". In general, those weed species considered to have a *high impact* are considered *high threat* regardless. of their invasiveness.

\*\* if total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.

benchmark	Benchmark spp.	% cover	(*)	(~)
17	1	/		
T	1	1		
NS	1	1		
55	7.11	211	/	
PS	1	1		
6.11	1	1		
MY	2110	1120	*	
CH	1	1		
LTG	1	/		
wet	/	1	/	1
MAC	.419	20 135	$\checkmark$	1
MUG-	1.	1		
BL	/	/		
	/	1		
	/	1		
	/	1		
Present	'present' if • any specimens For life forms wit 'present' if	h benchmark cover s are observed. h benchmark cover couples at least 10 <sup>2</sup>	of ≥ 10%, α	onsidered
Modified (apply only where life form is	substantially 'mo • < 50% of the • no reproductiv For life forms with substantially 'mo	h benchmark cover dified' if the life for benchmark species why-mature specim th benchmark cover dified' if the life for chmark cover; or	m has either: diversity; or ens are obser of $\ge$ 10%, th	ved. en considered
'prosent')	<ul> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>≥ 50% of ber specimens but</li> </ul>	chmark species div ichmark cover due t the cover of repro he benchmark cove	largely to imr ductively-mat	

5 Understorey Score **Category & Description** All strata and Life forms effectively absent 0 Up to 50% of life forms present 5  $\geq 50\%$  to 90% of Life forms ~  $\bullet$  of those present,  $\geq 50\%$ 10 present substantially modified · of those present, < 50% 15 substantially modified  $\geq 90\%$  of Life forms present ~  $\bullet$  of those present,  $\geq 50\%$ 15 substantially modified of those present, < 50%</li> 20 substantially modified · of those present, none 25 substantially modified



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.3 - October 2004

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Adequate

Recruitment (1)

Category &	Description		High diversity**	Low diversity**	Woody species recorded
	within EVC not dr	iven by episodic	0	0	Eucalypt canopy (combined
No evidence of a recruitment	within EVC	clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	0	0	
'cohort'*	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	۰5	5	
	proportion of native woody	< 30%	3	1	
recruitment 'cohort' in at	species present that have	30 - 70%	6	3	
least one life-form	adequate recruitment <sup>o</sup>	≥ 70%	10	5	number of woody spp. in EVC b
<ul> <li>nefer to EVC</li> <li>treat multiple</li> </ul>	ssed canopy species benchmark for clari e eucalypt canopy sp				Logs Category & Description

**Organic Litter** 

**Category & Description** 

< 10% of benchmark cover

< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover

number of woody spp. In EVC bencl	hmark (SS and taller	)	
ogs	S	core	0
	Loren loss	Larne	logs
Category & Description	Large logs present*	abse	
Category & Description < 10% of benchmark length			
	present*		

Woody species recorded in habitat zone

Eucalypt canopy (combined species)

Large logs defined as those with diameter  $\geq 0.5$  of benchmark large tree dbh. \* present if large log length is  $\geq 25\%$  of EVC benchmark log length. # absent if large log length is < 25% of EVC benchmark log length.

≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover 5

Dominated by

organic litter

0

non-native

#### 'Landscape Context Score'

Score

Dominated by

litter

ative organic

0

3

atch Size	1	Score	
ategory &	Description		
2 ha			1
etween 2 a	nd 5 ha		2
etween 5 ar	nd 10 ha		4
etween 10	and 20 ha		б
20 ha, but	significantly distu	rbed*	8
20 ha, but	not 'significantly d	listurbed'*	10
eighbour	p etc. – effectively m	s per RFA 'Old Growth' an lost patches within fragm <b>Score</b>	nalyses eg. roa iented landscap
oupes, grazin	g etc. – effectively m	iost patches within fragm	natyses eg. roa sented landscap
eighbour Radius from site	hood	ost patches within fragm	nalyses eg. roa nented landscap
eighbour Radius	hood	Score Weighting	natyses eg. roa nented landscap
eighbour Radius from site	hood	Score Weighting 0.03	ented landscap
eighbour Radius from site 100 m 1 km	the subtract 2 if the	Score Weighting 0.03 0.04	ented landscap

Distance	Core Area not significantly disturbed*	Core Area significantly disturbed*
> 5 km	0	0
1 to 5 km	2	1
< 1 km	4	3
contiguous	5	4

\* defined as per RFA 'Old Growth' analyses.

		Site	Con	ditio	on So	ore		C	ndsc onte Score	xt	
Component	frees	Tree Canopy Cover	Lack of Weeds	torey	ment	: Litter		ize	Neighbourhood	te to Core Area	Total
Com	Large Trees	Tree C	Lack of	Understorey	Recruitment	Organic Littler	rogs	Patch Size	Neighb	Distance	100
Score	0	0	2	5	0	3	0	1	0	0	11

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

www.dse.vic.gov.au

Site Name/No.		Loc	tation W	DORGEN	Date	9/9/21		
Issessor(s) JS		Ma	p Name/No.		AMG / MG	5A Ac		
enure	VC	55			Bioregion	Œ.		
		'Site	e Condi	tion Score				
		_	D			(same	as A	- 47
arge Trees	Sa	ore		Understor	ey Life form	is is	no p	TAC
Category & Description	96	Canopy He	with#	LF Code	# spp	% cover	Present	Modifie
category a bescription	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%	from EVC benchmark	observed / Benchmark	observed / Benchmark	(*)	(~)
None present	0	0	0	benchmark	spp.	% cover		
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number of	of 3	2	1		1		-	
large trees/ha	-				1	1		
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	З	2		1	1	-	
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark		-			1	1		
number of large trees/ha	6	5	4	100	1	1		
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark	8	7	6		1	1		
number of large trees/ha 2 the benchmark number of large					1	/		
2 the benchmark number of large trees/ha	10	9	8		1	1		
Large trees are defined by diameter at breas	height (dbh	)		2	/	1		
<ul> <li>see EVC benchmark.</li> <li>* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy</li> </ul>	CARDON COM	er that is over	uent		1	1	-	
(i.e. not missing due to tree death or dedine,	or mistletoe	infestation).			1	1		
					1	1	1.1.1.1.1.1	
					1	1		
ree Canopy Cover	Se	ore	0		1	1		
	-	Canopy He	with #			h benchmark cove	r of < 10%, co	onsidered
Category & Description	> 70%	-	< 30%		<ul> <li>'present' if</li> <li>any specimens are observed.</li> </ul>			
	0	0	0	Present	For life forms with	h benchmark cove	$r \text{ of} \ge 10\%, co$	nsidered
< 10% of benchmark cover		1	-		<ul> <li>present' if</li> <li>the life form of</li> </ul>	ccupies at least 10	% of benchma	ark cover.
< 10% of benchmark cover < 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	2	1		<ul> <li>the life form occupies at least 10% of benchmar</li> <li>For life forms with benchmark cover of &lt;10%, the</li> </ul>		en consider	
	3	2 4 .	1 3		substantially 'mor	dified' if the life for	rm has either:	
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	5 species read	4 · ning≥80% of er that is pres	f mature ient	Modified (apply only where life form is 'present')	substantially 'moi < < 50% of the • no reproductiv For life forms with substantially 'moi < < 50% of ben • < 50% of ben	dified' if the life for benchmark specie: ely-mature specim h benchmark cover dified' if the life for chmark cover; er chmark species div	rm has either: s diversity; or rens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th rm has either: rensity; or	en conside
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover ≥ 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline,	5 species react canopy cow or mistletoe	4 - ning ≥ 80% of er that is pres infestation).	f mature ient	(apply only where life form is	substantially 'more <ul> <li>&lt; 50% of the</li> <li>no reproductive</li> </ul> For life forms with substantially 'more <ul> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&gt; 250% of ben</li> <li>&gt; specimens but</li> </ul>	dified' if the life for benchmark specie: lefy-mature specier h benchmark cove dified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover due t the cover of repro	rm has either: s diversity; or iens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat	en conside nature cano
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover ≥ 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline,	5 species react canopy cow or mistletoe <b>Score</b>	4 - ning ≥ 80% of er that is pres infestation).	f mature cent	(apply only where life form is	substantially 'more <ul> <li>&lt; 50% of the</li> <li>no reproductive</li> </ul> For life forms with substantially 'more <ul> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&gt; 250% of ben</li> <li>&gt; specimens but</li> </ul>	dified' if the life for benchmark specie: ety-mature specier th benchmark cover dified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark species di- chmark cover due	rm has either: s diversity; or iens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat	en conside nature cano
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description	5 species react canopy cow or mistletoe <b>Score</b>	4 - ning ≥ 80% of or that is pres inflestation).	f mature cent	(apply only where life form is	substantially 'noo < < 50% of the + no reproductiv For life forms with substantially 'noo < < 50% of ben < \$ 50% of ben specimens but is < 10% of th	dified' if the life for benchmark specie: lefy-mature specier h benchmark cove dified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover due t the cover of repro	rm has either: s diversity; or iens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th rm has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat	en conside nature cano
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe <b>Score</b> ?aigh 1	4 - ning ≥ 80% of er that is pres infestation). Wresat' weeeo	f mabure scent 2- As*	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor	substantially 'mo: < 50% of the no reproductiv For the forms wit substantially 'mo: < 50% of ban < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th ey	dified' if the life for benchmark specie: lefy-mature specier h benchmark cove dified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover due t the cover of repro	m has either: s diversity; or itens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat rr.	en conside nature cano
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy the height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description	5 species read canopy cow or mistietoe <b>Score</b> 7aigh 1 Vone	$4 \rightarrow$ ning $\ge 80\%$ of or that is pres- infestation). Wreat" week $\le 50\%$	f mature acent 2 /s* > 50%	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category &	substantially 'moo < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'moo < 50% of ben < 50% of ben specimens but is < 10% of th ey Description	dified' If the life for benchmark specie ley-mature specim h benchmark cover, or chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover of reproduced the cover of the cover of repro- te benchmark cover	m has either: s diversity; or itens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat rr.	en conside nature cano ure specim
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy the height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe Score 7 high 1 Vone 4	$4 \rightarrow 100 \text{ ming} \ge 80\% \text{ of}$ or that is pres- infestation). Whereat'' weeks $\le 50\%$	f mature cent 2 /s* > 50% 0	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and	substantially 'moo < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'moo < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th <b>ey</b> <b>Description</b> I Life forms effect	dified' if the life for benchmark specie ely-mature specim h benchmark cover chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover of represent the cover of represent the cover of represent to benchmark cover tively absent	m has either: s diversity; or itens are obsen r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat rr.	en conside nature cano ure specim
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover ≥ 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds	5 species react canopy cow or institute Score Thigh I Kone 4 7	$4 \cdot \frac{1}{100} = 80\%$ of or that is press infestation). Whreat' week $\leq 50\%$ 6	f mature cent 2 /s* > 50% 0 4	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% of	substantially 'moo < < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'mo < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th <b>Description</b> I Life forms great f life forms prese	dified' if the life for benchmark specie elements specim h benchmark cover chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark species dh chmark cover due the cover of repre- te benchmark cover tively absent ent	m has either: s diversity; or ens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat r. Score	en consider nature cano ure specimi 5
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (i.e. not massing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds**	5 species react canopy cow or mistletoe <i>Score</i> <i>Taight I</i> <i>Kone</i> 4 7 11 15	$4 \cdot \frac{1}{100} = 80\%$ of wr that is press infestation). <i>Inreat' weekonomial</i> $\leq 50\%$ 6 9 13	f mature acent 2 50% 0 4 7 11	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% of	substantially 'moo < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'moo < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th <b>ey</b> <b>Description</b> I Life forms effect	dified' if the life for benchmark specie elements specim h benchmark cover chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark species dh chmark cover due the cover of repre- te benchmark cover tively absent ent	mn has either: s diversity; or ens: are observ r of ≥ 10%, th mn has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mat r. Score sent, ≥ 50%	en consider nature cano ure specimi 5
< 50% or ≥ 150% of benchmark cover ≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds** * proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat' 'ligh threat' weed species are defined as tho non-indigenous 'natives' with the ability to o	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe <i>Score</i> <i>Taigh I</i> Wone 4 7 11 15 weeds - see se introduces <i>iccorpete s</i>	4 hing $\geq$ 80% of or that is pees infestation). Wreat' week $\leq 50\%$ 6 9 13 EVC benchming 1 species (Incident of autotation)	f mature zent 2 50% 0 4 7 11 ark for guide. Juding ally	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o ≥ 50% to 90	substantially 'moo < < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'mo < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th <b>Description</b> I Life forms great f life forms prese	dified' if the life for benchmark specie hymature specim h benchmark cover dified if the life for chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover due the cover of repro- te benchmark cover tively absent ent • of those pres	m has either: s diversity; or r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: versity; or largely to imn oductively-mat tr. Score sent, ≥ 50% modified sent, < 50%	en consider nature cano ure specimi 5 10
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (Le. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 2 - 5% cover of weeds 2	5 species read canopy cow or mstieted Score 7aigh 1 Kone 4 7 11 15 weeds - see se introduces ut-compete a helionger to gime.	4	f mature zent 2 50% 0 4 7 11 erk for guide. Juding ally on-going	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o ≥ 50% to 90 present	substantially 'moo < < 50% of the + on reproductiv For IFe forms with substantially 'mo < 50% of ban < 50% of ban specimens but is < 10% of th <b>Description</b> I Life forms great f life forms prese	difed' if the life for benchmark specie hymature specim h benchmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover of repri- te benchmark cover title cover of repri- te benchmark cover title cover of repri- te benchmark cover title cover of repri- te benchmark cover to cover of repri- te benchmark cover to cover of repri- substantially • of those pres substantially • of those pres	mn has either: s diversity; or r of ≥ 10%, th mn has either: versity; or largely to imm oductively-mati tr. Score sent, ≥ 50% sent, ≥ 50% sent, ≥ 50%	en conside nature cano ure specim 0 0 5 10 11
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Thee canopy is defined as those canopy the height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (i.e. not missing due to the death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 4 - 5% cover of weeds 5 - 55% cover of weeds 4 - 5% cover of weeds 5 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 6 - 25%	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe Score 7high 1 Kone 4 7 11 15 weeds - see se introduced di compete a be longer te gime. 5 for the EVC of Imged: 1	4	f mature sent 2 50% 0 4 7 11 ark for guide. shuting ally on-going pion and ose weed	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o ≥ 50% to 90 present	substantialy 'moo < S0% of the no reproductiv For Ife forms with substantialy 'moo < S0% of ben < S0% of ben < S0% of ben specimens but is < 10% of th <b>ey</b> <b>Description</b> I Life forms effect filfe forms press % of Life forms	dified' if the life for benchmark specie ley-mature specim h benchmark cover chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover; or chmark cover of repri- te benchmark cover titlely absent titlely absent ent • of those pre- substantially • of those pre- substantially	mm has either: s diversity; or rens: are observed r of $\ge$ 10%, th mm has either: resity; or largely to imm oductively-mati- tr. Score sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $\ge$ 50% modified	en consider nature cano ure specime 0 5 10 15 12
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, <b>Category &amp; Description</b> > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 4 * proprition of weed cover due to 'high threet' 'high threat' weed species are defined as tho non-indigenous 'hatwes') with the ability to o reduce one or more indigenous tile forms in to the EVC benchmark lists typical weed species provides an estimate of their 'invasiveness' and species considered to have a high impact- are	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe Score 7high 1 Kone 4 7 11 15 weeds - see se introduced di compete a be longer te gime. 5 for the EVC of Imget.	4	f mature sent 2 50% 0 4 7 11 ark for guide. shuting ally on-going pion and ose weed	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o ≥ 50% to 90 present	substantialy 'moo < S0% of the no reproductiv For Ife forms with substantialy 'moo < S0% of ben < S0% of ben < S0% of ben specimens but is < 10% of th <b>ey</b> <b>Description</b> I Life forms effect filfe forms press % of Life forms	difed' if the life for benchmark specie ely-mature specim h benchmark cover chimark cover or chimark cover or chimark cover or chimark cover or chimark cover of reprise the cover of repri- te benchmark cover tively absent tively absent ent • of those pres substantially • of those pres substantially • of those pres substantially • of those pres substantially	mn has either: s dversity; or r of ≥ 10%, th mn has either: rersity; or largely to imm oductively-matur. Score Sent, ≥ 50% modified sent, < 50% modified sent, < 50% modified sent, < 50% modified	en conside nature cano ure specim 0 0 5 10 11 11 11
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover > 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description. * Estimate proportion of an expected healthy (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, ack of Weeds Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds > 5% cover of weeds > 1% proportion of weed species are defined as tho non-indigenous 'natives') with easility to o runent site characteristics and disturbance re The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species an estimate of ther 'invasiveness' an	5 species read canopy cow or mistletoe Score Paigh I Wone 4 7 11 15 weeds - see se introduces the longer to gime. S for the EVC ad 'impact'. I considered J	4	f mature zent 2 550% 0 4 7 11 ark for guide. Juding and con weed gardiess	(apply only where life form is 'present') Understor Category & All strata and Up to 50% o ≥ 50% to 90 present	substantialy 'moo < S0% of the in reproductiv For Ife forms with substantialy 'moo < S0% of ben < S0% of ben < S0% of ben specimens but is < 10% of th <b>ey</b> <b>Description</b> I Life forms effect filfe forms press % of Life forms	difed' if the life for benchmark specie ely-mature specim h benchmark cover chimark cover or chimark onver or the cover of repri- te benchmark cover substantially of those pres substantially of those pres substantially of those pres	mm has either: s diversity; or r of $\ge$ 10%, th rm has either: r of $\ge$ 10%, th imm has either: r of $\ge$ 10%, th mm discutively-mati- tr. Score sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $\ge$ 50% modified sent, $<$ 50% sent, $<$ 50% s	en conside nature cani ure specim 5 0 0 1 1 1 1

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### **Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet** Version 1.3 - October 2004

**Species Recruitment** 

Woody species recorded in habitat zone

Eucalypt canopy (combined species)

< 10% of benchmark length

< 50% of benchmark length

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Adequate

Recruitment

0 2

Category &	Description		High diversity**	Low diversity**
	within EVC not dr events	iven by episodic	0	0
No evidence of a recruitment	within EVC	clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	0	0
'cohort'*	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	5	5 .
at least one	proportion of native woody	< 30%	3	1
'cohort' in at		30 - 70%	6	3
least one life-form	adequate recruitment <sup>o</sup>	≥ 70%	10	5

^ refer to EVC benchmark for clarification.

treat multiple eucalypt canopy species as one species.

\* high diversity defined as ≥ 50% of benchmark woody species diversity

Organic Litter	Score	_
Category & Description	Dominated by native organic litter	Dominated by non-native organic litter
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	2
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4

number of woody spp. In EVC berk	inmark (SS and taller)	
ogs	S	core O
Category & Description	Large logs present*	Large logs absent <sup>#</sup>

 $\geq 50\%$  of benchmark length 5 4 Large logs defined as those with diameter  $\ge 0.5$  of benchmark large tree dbh. \* present if large log length is 2 25% of EVC benchmark log length. # absent if large log length is < 25% of EVC benchmark log length.

0

з

Patch Size		Score		Di	stanc	e to	Co
Category &	Description			1			Τ
< 2 ha	77.15		1	Dis	tance		
Between 2 a	nd 5 ha		2	> 5	km		+
Between 5 a	nd 10 ha		4	100	5 km		
Between 10	and 20 ha		6		km		
≥ 20 ha, but	significantly distur	'bed'*	8	1000	tiquous		
≥ 20 ha, but	not 'significantly di	isturbed'*	10	Tartinian I	efined as		(A. 1)
	g etc. – effectively m		0		_	F	in
		Score Weighting	0			F 'Site	
leighbour Radius	% Native	Score	0				_
Radius from site	% Native	Score Weighting	0			'Site	
Radius from site	% Native	Score Weighting	0			'Site	_
Radius from site 100 m 1 km	hood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	Score Weighting 0.03 0.04	0			'Site	
Radius from site 100 m 1 km	hood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the 'significant)	Score Weighting 0.03 0.04 0.03 neighbourhood is	0	Component	Large Trees		_

Distance	Core Area not significantly disturbed*	Core Area significantly disturbed*
> 5 km	0	0
1 to 5 km	2	Q.
< 1 km	4	3
contiguous	5	4

ined as per RFA 'Old Growth' analyses.

		'Site Condition Score'					C	'Landscape Context Score'			
Component	Trees	free Canopy Cover	' Weeds	rstorey	ment	c Utter		ize	teighteourhood	Distance to Core Area	Total
Corr	Large 1	Tree G	Lack of	Unders	Recruitment	Organic I	togs	Patch Size	Neighbo	Distano	100
Score	0	0	2	5	0	3	0	1	0	1	12

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegetation	Quality	Field	Assessment	Sheet
-			October 2004	

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Site Name/No. WOOREGI	O BESS. HZ D LO	cation WOOACEN .
Assessor(s) JS.		ap Name/No.
Tenure	EVC 55	

	91	19/	21	1	
Date	-4	····· {···			imino
MG	/ MGA .				

Bioregion

'Site Condition Score'

#### **Understorey Life forms**

arge Trees	Sco	re	0	
Colorest C. Constitution	96.	Canopy Hei	Health*	
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%	
None present	0	0	0	
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	з	2	1	
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	3	2	
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	6	5	4	
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	8	7	6	
≥ the benchmark number of large trees/ha	10	9	8	

Large trees are defined by diameter at breast height (dbh) - see EVC benchmark.

See EVL Descrimans.
 Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistletoe infestation).

Free Canopy Cover	Sco	re	0
	96 0	Canopy Hea	with *
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	2	1
> 50% or < 150% of benchmark cover	5	4	3

Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree species reaching  $\geq$  80% of mature height - see EVC benchmark description. \* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistlebe infestation).

ack of Weeds	Sco	ore	6
	7aig	ph threat' wee	eds*
Category & Description	None	≤ <i>50</i> %	> 50%
> 50% cover of weeds	4	2	0
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	6	4
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11	9	7
< 5% cover of weeds**	15	13	11

\* proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat' weeds - see EVC benchmark for guide.

High thereal' werd species are defined as those introduced species (including non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability to out-compete and substantially reduce one or more indigenous life forms in the longer term assuming on-going current site characteristics and disturbance regime.

The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their 'investveness' and 'impact'. In general, those weed species considered to have a *high impact* are considered *high (Invest* regardless of their invasiveness.

\*\* if total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.

LF Code from EVC benchmark	# spp observed / Benchmark spp.	% cover observed / Benchmark % cover	Present (*)	Modified (*)
IT	1	1		
T	1	1 .		
MS	1	1		
22	1	/		
61	1	/		
24	1	/		1
MH	2110	5120	/	
54	213	115	1	
LTG	1	1		
LNG	1	1		
MTL-	219	10135	~	
MUL	1	/		
BL	11/2	10/10	/	
	1	1		
	1	/		
	J	/		
Present	'present' if • any specimens For life forms with 'present' if • the life form of	h benchmark cover couples at least 10	r of $\geq$ 10%, co % of benchma	insidered ark cover.
Modified (apply only	substantially 'mod • < 50% of the i • no reproductiv For life forms with	h benchmark cover itfied' if the life for benchmark species ely-mature specimi h benchmark cover i	m has either: s diversity; or ens are obsen r of $\geq$ 10%, th	wed.
where life form is 'present')	<ul> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>≥ 50% of ben</li> <li>specimens but</li> </ul>	fified' if the life for chmark cover; or chmark species div chmark cover due the cover of repro e benchmark cove	ersity; or largely to imm ductively-mat	

5 Understorev Score **Category & Description** All strata and Life forms effectively absent 0 Up to 50% of life forms present 5 ≥ 50% to 90% of Life forms + of those present, ≥ 50% 10 present substantially modified • of those present, < 50% 15 substantially modified  $\geq 90\%$  of Life forms present  $\rightarrow$  of those present,  $\geq 50\%$ 15 substantially modified of those present, < 50%</li> 20 substantially modified of those present, none substantially modified 25



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any <u>convright</u>

#### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.3 - October 2004

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Adequate

Recruitment (1)

I

			High	Low
Category & Description		diversity**	diversity**	
	within EVC not dr events	iven by episodic	0	0
'cohort'*	within EVC	clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	0	0
	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	5	5
Evidence of at least one	proportion of native woody	< 30%	3	1
recruitment 'cohort' in at		30 - 70%	6	3
least one life-form	adequate recruitment <sup>e</sup>	≥ 70%	10	5

+ 'cohort' refers to a group of woody plants established in a single episode (can include suppressed canopy species individuals). ^ refer to EVC benchmark for clarification.

Patch Size

< 2 ha

**Category & Description** 

Between 2 and 5 ha

Between 5 and 10 ha

Between 10 and 20 ha

≥ 20 ha, but 'significantly disturbed'\*

\* treat multiple eucalypt canopy species as one species.

\* high diversity defined as ≥ 50% of benchmark woody species diversity.

Organic Litter	Score	L
Category & Description	Dominated by native organic litter	Dominated by non-native organic litter
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	0
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4

Score

10

ogs	Sco	re 0
number of woody spp. in EVC b	enchmark (SS and taller)	

ogs	5	core
Category & Description	Large logs present*	Large logs absent"
< 10% of benchmark length	0	0
< 50% of benchmark length	3	2
≥ 50% of benchmark length	5	4

Large logs defined as those with diameter ≥ 0.5 of benchmark large tree dbh. present if large log length is a 25% of EVC benchmark log length # absent if large log length is < 25% of EVC benchmark log length.

<u>'l</u>	andscape	e Context Scor	<u>e'</u>	
	1	Distance to	Core Area	Score
	1	Distance	Core Area not significantly disturbed*	Core Area significantly disturbed*
	2	> 5 km	0	0
	4	1 to 5 km	2	Ð
	6	< 1 km	4	3
	8	contiquous	5	4

**Species Recruitment** 

Woody species recorded in habitat zone

Eucalypt canopy (combined species)

· defined as per RFA 'Old Growth' analyses.



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

		Lo	ocation LCC	OREEN.	Date	1/7/	21.	
Assessor(s) TS		м	ap Name/No.		AMG / MO	GA		
Tanuna	EVC	.55			Bioregion	Gipp	P.	
Tenure	EVC				bioregion			
		<u>'Si</u>	te Condi	tion Score				
			0					
Large Trees		Score		Understor	ey Life form	1		
Category & Description	-	% Canopy h		LF Code	# spp observed /	% cover observed /	Present	Modifie
		70% 30-709		from EVC benchmark	Benchmark	Benchmark	(*)	(*)
None present		0 0	0	17	spp.	% cover		
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark numb large trees/ha	er of	3 2	1	Ŧ.	111	6015.	~	
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark			2	MS	1	1		
number of large trees/ha		4 3	2	1	/	1		1
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha		6 5	4		/	DI D	lacten	000-
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark					1	Trb	nu n	ty.
number of large trees/ha		8 7	6		1	1		
≥ the benchmark number of large trees/ha		10 9	8	-	1	/	/	
Large trees are defined by diameter at br	east height	(dbh)			1	1 0		
<ul> <li>see EVC benchmark.</li> <li>* Estimate proportion of an expected hea</li> </ul>	diles cancer	constrained in succession			1	/		
(i.e. not missing due to tree death or ded					1	1		
				17	Í	1		
			$\Box$	V	1	1		
Tree Canopy Cover		Score	0		1	1		
		% Canopy h	lealth *		For life forms with 'present' if	h benchmark cove	r of < 10%, co	insidered
Category & Description	>	70% 30-70%	6 < 30%	Present	<ul> <li>any specimens</li> </ul>	s are observed. h benchmark cove	and - 1041 - on	herebire
< 10% of benchmark cover		0 0	0		'present' if			
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark co		3 2	1			ccupies at least 10 h benchmark cove		
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cov		5 4	3			dified' if the life for benchmark specie		
Tree canopy is defined as those canopy to height - see EVC benchmark description.	ree species	reacting 2 00%	or mature	Modified	<ul> <li>no reproductiv</li> </ul>	vely-mature specim	iens are observ	
<ul> <li>Estimate proportion of an expected hea (i.e. not missing due to tree death or deci</li> </ul>	ithy canopy line, or mist	cover that is pri tletoe infestation	esent ).	(apply only where life	substantially 'mo	h benchmark cove dified' if the life fo		en considere
				form is 'present')		chmark species div		
			9			the cover of repr		
		ore			is < 10% of 0	he benchmark cove	er.	
Lack of Weeds	'n	igh threat' wee	105*	10.000				5
	None	< <i>50</i> %	> 50%	Understor			Score	2
Lack of Weeds Category & Description	4	2	0		Description		12.2.2	1.5
Category & Description -		6	4		Life forms effec	tively absent		0
Category & Description - > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	Ó						
Category & Description - > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds	7 11	9	7	Up to 50% of	f life forms prese		and a rear	5
Category & Description	7 11 15	(9) 13	7 11	Up to 50% of				
Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds* * proportion of weed cover due to 'high thr High threat' weed species are defined as	7 11 15 reat' weeds those intro	9 13 - see EVC benchr duced species (ir	7 11 mark for guide. scluding	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 904	f life forms prese	<ul> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> <li>of those pressubstantial</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50%	10
Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds** * proportion of weed cover due to 'high thr	7 11 15 those intro to out-comp	9 13 - see EVC benchr duced species (ir bete and substan	7 11 mark for guide. scluding tially	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 90 <sup>4</sup> present	f life forms prese % of Life forms	<ul> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50% modified	10
Category & Description  50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 4 5% cover of weeds 4 5% cover of weeds* 4 proportion of weed cover due to "high the High threat" weed species are defined as non-indigenous in atives?) with the ability reduce one or more indigenous life forms current site characteristics and disturbance	7 11 15 reat" weeds those intro to out-comp in the long ce regime.	9 13 - see EVC benchr duced species (ir oete and substan er term assuming	7 11 mark for guide, scluding tally g on-going	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 90 <sup>4</sup> present	f life forms prese	<ul> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50% modified sent, ≥ 50%	
Category & Description  > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 4 - 5% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds 5 - 25	7 11 15 those intro to out-come in the long ce regime. ecies for the s' and 'impa	13 - see EVC benchr duced species (ir sete and substan er term assuming e EVC in the biom ad'. In general, t	7 11 mark for guide. Including tially g on-going region and hose weed	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 90 <sup>4</sup> present	f life forms prese % of Life forms	<ul> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50% modified sent, < 50% sent, < 50%	10 15 15
Category & Description > 50% cover of weeds 25 - 50% cover of weeds 5 - 25% cover of weeds < 5% cover of weeds** * proportion of weed cover due to 'high the 'High threat' weed species are defined as con-indigenous 'natives') with the ability t reduce one or more indigenous life forms current site characteristics and disturbance The EVC benchmark lists typical weed spe	7 11 15 those intro to out-come in the long ce regime. ecies for the s' and 'impa	13 - see EVC benchr duced species (ir sete and substan er term assuming e EVC in the biom ad'. In general, t	7 11 mark for guide. Including tially g on-going region and hose weed	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 90 <sup>4</sup> present	f life forms prese % of Life forms	<ul> <li>of those pre- substantially</li> <li>of those pre- substantially</li> <li>of those pre- substantially</li> <li>of those pre- substantially</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50% modified sent, ≥ 50% modified sent, < 50% modified	10 15 15
<ul> <li>&gt; 50% cover of weeds</li> <li>25 - 50% cover of weeds</li> <li>25 - 25% cover of weeds</li> <li>5 - 25% cover of weeds**</li> <li>* proportion of weedcover due to 'high the 'High threat' weed species are defined as non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability to reduce one or more indigenous file forms current site charactentsites and disturbance.</li> <li>The EVC benchmark lists typical weed spe provides an estimate of their linvasiveness species considered to have a <i>high times</i>.</li> </ul>	7 11 15 reat' weeds those intro- to out-comp in the long ce regime. ecies for the s' and 'impe 'are conside	3 - see EVC bench duced species (in duced species (in duced species (in duced species (in duced species (in et an assuming e EVC in the biom act. In general, t area <i>high threat</i> in	7 11 mark for guide, tcluding tally g on-going egion and hose weed regardless	Up to 50% of ≥ 50% to 90 <sup>4</sup> present	f life forms prese % of Life forms	<ul> <li>of those pressubstantially</li> </ul>	modified sent, < 50% modified sent, ≥ 50% modified sent, < 50% modified sent, none	10 15

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.3 - October 2004

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Category &	Description			High diversity*	Low diversity*	• We	ody s	pecie	s rec	orded	l in ha	bitat	zone		Rec	lequ ruitr
	within EVC not d	riven by epi		0	0	-	calypt	canop	y (con	bined	specie	15)				(1
No evidence of a recruitment	within EVC	clear evide appropriat episodic ev	e	0	0											
'cohort"*	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence o appropriat episodic ev	te	5	5											
at least one	proportion of native woody species present	< 30'		3	1	_							_			
'cohort' in at least one life-form		30 - 7i ≥ 70 <sup>4</sup>		6 10	3	nur	nber of	woody	spp. in	EVCB	enchma	urk (55	and to	iler)		_
include suppre	rs to a group of wor ssed canopy specie benchmark for clar	s individuals).	tablished	in a single o	episode (can	_										C
	e eucalypt canopy s y defined as $\geq$ 50%			enaciae dau	are the	Log	ļs				-		1	Sco		-
myn uwersit	<ol> <li>→enneu es ≥ &gt;0%</li> </ol>	or percrimar	woody:	species aw	-	Ca	tegon	& D	escrip	tion		Large	e logs ent*		Larg	pe lo sent
Organic Li	tter		S	core	3	< 1	10% of	bend	hmark	lengt	h	(	0			0
			Domina		ominated by	<	50% of	bend	hmark	lengti	h	-				2
Category 8	Description		native of	organic n	on-native rganic litter	≥ 5	0% af				h diame	1				4
	enchmark cover		1 2													
< 50% or > ≥ 50% or ≤	150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover	6	J S	2 4 dscape	-					: 25% o			nark lo	ig lengt	th.
< 50% or > 2 50% or ≤ Patch Size	150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover	6	5	4	Conte		core	2' Core	Are	a		Scon	e	ig lengt	n. 
< 50% or > 2 50% or ≤ Patch Size Category 8	150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover		5	4 dscape	Conte	tanc	core	Core	Are are Are	a ea not antiy	3	Scon	e Area antiy	ig lengt	n. 
< 50% or > 2 50% or ≤ Patch Size	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover		s Land	4	Contex Dis	tance	core	Core	Are ore Are ignific disturt	a ea not antly ced*	3	Scon Core / ignific disturt	e Area antly bed*	ig lengt	n. 
< 50% or > 2 50% or ≤ Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% benchm bench benchm ben	ark cover			4 dscape	Contex Dis Dist	tance	core	Core	e Are are Are agnific disturt 0	a ea not antly ced*	3	Scon Core / ignific disturt 0	e Area antly bed*	ig lengt	th.
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a	150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover			4 dscape	Contex Dis Dist > 51 1 to	tance ance am 5 km	core	Core	e Are are Are ignific disturt 0 2	a ea not antly sed*	3	Scon Core / agnific disturi 0 1	e Area antly bed*	g lengt	th.
< 50% or > 2 50% or ≤ Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10	150% of benchm 150% of benchm	ark cover		5	4 dscape 1 1 2 4 6 8	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11	tance ance am 5 km	core	Core	e Are are Are agnific disturt 0	a ea not antiy ced*	3	Scon Core / ignific disturt 0	e Area antly bed*	g lengt	h. 
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm Description nd 5 ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly	ark cover 50 urbed* disturbed*	core		4 dscape	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km om guous	e to	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5	a ea not antiy ced*	5	Scon Gore / ignific disturt 0 1 3	e Area antly bed*	g lengt	h. 
< 50% or > > 50% or < > 50% or    Patch Size   Category 8   < 2 ha	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm Description Ind 5 ha Ind 5 ha Ind 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist	ark cover Sc urbed* disturbed* as per RFA '0	core	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km om guous	e to	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5	a ea not antly ced*	5	Scon Gore / ignific disturt 0 1 3	e Area antly bed*		н. 
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * 'significantly coupes, grazin	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm <b>Description</b> nd 5 ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined g etc. – effectively	ark cover 50 urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'O most patches	core	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km om guous	e to	Core	e Are pre An ignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth	a ea not antly ced*	d s (	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antly bed*		1
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly coupes, grazin	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm <b>Description</b> nd 5 ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined g etc. – effectively	ark cover 50 urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'O most patches	id Growth within fra	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km am guous ined as	per R/	Core G S MA'Old	e Are re Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	a ea not antiy bed*	s s t	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	[	 apo
< 50% or > 2 50% or < 2 50% or            Patch Size           Category 8           < 2 ha	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm <b>Description</b> Ind S ha Ind S ha Ind 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined. g etc. – effectively <b>rhood</b> % Native	ark cover Sc urbed* disturbed* as per RFA '0 weigh 0.0	core tid Growth for core	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km am guous ined as	per R/	Core G S MA'Old	e Are re Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	a ea not antly sed* Y analys	s s t	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	ape ext
< 50% or > > 50% or  > 50% or  Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm <b>Description</b> Ind S ha Ind S ha Ind 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined. g etc. – effectively <b>rhood</b> % Native	ark cover Sc urbed* disturbed* as per RFA '0' Weigh 0.0 0.0	core tid Growth within fro core ting 33 44	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti • de	tance ance om 5 km am guous ined as	per RJ Fi	Core G S MA'Old	e Are re Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	a ea not antly sed* Y analys	s s t	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	ape ext
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm nd S ha nd S ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist isignificantly dist	urbed** disturbed** disturbed** se per RFA*0 weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0	core nid Growth within fro core withing 13 14 13	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti • de	tance ance orm 5 km arm guous ined as	per RJ Fi	Core	e Are xre Arrignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H a dittic	a ee not antly red* Y analys a bit	ses. at	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	 apo
< 50% or > > 50% or  > 50% or  Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 3 spiftcartly, coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm nd 5 ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined g etc. – effectively rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	urbed** disturbed** disturbed** se per RFA*0 weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0	core tid Growth within fro core ting 03 14 13 hood is	S Lane	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti • de	tance ance orm 5 km arm guous ined as	per RJ Fi	Core	e Are xre Arrignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H a dittic	a ee not antly red* Y analys a bit	ses. at	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	apo at
< 50% or > > 50% or  > 50% or  Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm nd 5 ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined g etc. – effectively rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	urbed** disturbed** disturbed* as per RFA '0 weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	core within fra- core viting 13 14 13 13 14 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	s	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 8 10 eg. meding,	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti	tance ance om 5 km am guous ined as	per R/	Core G S MA'Old	e Are re Are ignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	a ea not antly sed* Y analys	s s t	Score / ignific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	apo at
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 0 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but * significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km * to nearest 2	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm nd S ha nd 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined g etc. – effectively rhood % Native vegetation Subtract 2 if the 'significant	ark cover So urbed** disturbed** as per RFA*0 So Weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	core nid Growthin for within for core withing 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	s	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 10 csp. reding, andscapes.	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti • der	tance ance orm 5 km arm guous ined as	per RJ Fi	Core	Are Are are Are anific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H : Auogroupun	a ee not antly red* Y analys a bit	Consult Littee	Scorr Gore / agnific disturt 0 1 3 3 4 Sco	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	apo at
< 50% or > 2 50% or s 2 50% or s 2 50% or s Patch Size Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 3 Significantly coupes, grazin Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km * to nearest 2 Multiply % na * to nearest 2	150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm 150% of benchm <b>Description</b> and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha 'significantly dist not 'significantly disturbed' defined ig etc. – effectively <b>rhood</b> <b>% Native</b> <b>vegetation</b> subtract 2 if thy 'significantly	ark cover Se urbed** disturbed** as per RFA '0 weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	core the Growth within fro core thing 3 4 4 3 3 hood is d' res and d' res and d' res and d'	s	4 dscape 1 2 4 6 8 10 g, roading, mdscapes.	Dist Dist > 51 1 to < 11 conti • de	tance ance orm 5 km arm guous ined as	per RJ Fi	Core Core A 'Old in a Con	e Are xre Arrignific disturt 0 2 4 5 Growth I H a dittic	a ee not antly red* Y analys a bit	ses. at	Scorr Gore / agnific disturt 0 1 3 3 4 Sco	e Area antiy bed*	ndsconte	 ape

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegeta Site Name/No. HZ F.	Version 1.3 - Oct		Date	9/9/	Sustaina	rtment of bility and vironment
Assessor(s) Jushu S Tenure		n Scrub)	AMG / MG Bioregion	Gipps	P.	
	<u>'Site Condi</u>	tion Score'				
Large Trees	score NA	Understore	ey Life form	15		
Category & Description	96 Concept Health* 	LF Code from EVC	# spp observed / Benchmark	% cover observed / Benchmark	Present	Modified

Category & Description	96	Cenopy Hel	alth*
Category & Description	× 10%	30-70%	< 30%
None present	0	0	0
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	3	2	1
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	3	2
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	6	5	4
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	8	7	6
≥ the benchmark humber of large trees/ha	10	9	8

Large trees and defined by diameter at breast height (dbh) - see EVC byfichmark. \* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistietoe infestation).

Free Canopy Cover	Sco	re	2
Colores & December 10	96 0	Canopy Hea	olth *
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	0	1
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4	3

Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree species reaching  $\ge 80\%$  of mature height - see EVC benchmark description. \* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present

(i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistletoe infestation)

ack of Weeds	Sco	ore	9
	7.kg	gh threat' wee	eds*
Category & Description	None	≤ <i>50</i> %	> 50%
> 50% cover of weeds	4	2	0
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	6	4
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11	C	7
< 5% cover of weeds**	15	13	11

\* proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat' weeds - see EVC benchmark for guide. Inigh thread: weed species are defined as those introduced species (including non-indigenous 'nathers') with the ability to out-compete and substantially reduce one or more indigenous life forms in the longer term assuming on-going current site characteristics and disturbance regime.

The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their 'invasiveness' and 'impact'. In general, those weed species considered to have a high impact are considered high threat regardless of their invasiveness.

\*\* If total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.

benchmark	spp.	% cover	(*)	(*)
MP	112	10110	/	
22	/	1		
CH	1	1		
MH	1	1		
SM	1	1		
ITA	1	/		
inh	1	/		
MITCO	1	/		
we	1	/		
CE	1	/		
SC	1	/		
Br	/	/		
	1	1		
	1	/		
	1	1		
	1	1		
Present	'present' if • any specimens For life forms wit 'present' if	h benchmark cover s are observed. h benchmark cover ccupies at least 10 <sup>o</sup>	of ≥ 10%, co	nsidered
Modified (apply only where life form is 'present')	substantially 'moi < 50% of the • no reproductiv For life forms wit substantially 'moi < 50% of ben < 50% of ben > 250% of ben	chmark species div chmark cover due	m has either: diversity; or ens are observ of ≥ 10%, th m has either: ersity; or largely to imm	ved. en considered nature canopy
	specimens but	the cover of repro	ductively-mab	ure specimens

5 Understorey Score **Category & Description** All strata and Life forms effectively absent 0 Up to 50% of life forms present 5  $\geq$  50% to 90% of Life forms  $\,$   $\,$  of those present,  $\geq$  50% 10 substantially modified present • of those present, < 50% 15 substantially modified  $\ge$  90% of Life forms present • of those present,  $\ge$  50% 15 substantially modified of those present, < 50%</li> 20 substantially modified · of those present, none 25 substantially modified



This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

#### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet Version 1.3 - October 2004

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Category &	Description		di	High versity*	Low diversity	,+0 W	loody	specie	is rec	ordeo	l in ha	bitat	zone			dequat cruitme	
	within EVC not de	riven by epi		0	0	_	calypt	canop	y (cor	nbined	i speci	es)				(1)	
No evidence of a recruitment		clear evide appropriat episodic e	te	0	0												_
'cohort'*	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence o appropriat episodic e	te	5	5	-											
at least one	proportion of native woody	< 30	96	3	1		_								-		
'cohort' in at least one life-form	species present that have adequate recruitment*	30 - 7 ≥ 70		6 10	3	-	imber of										_
include suppri ^ refer to EVX ° treat multipl	res to a group of woo essed canopy species C benchmark for clar ie eucalypt canopy sp ty defined as $\geq$ 50%	s individuals) fication. pecies as one	species.			Lo	gs ategor	y & D	escri	ption			e Jogs	Sco	Larg	NA ge logs	4
			1227		3	-	10% c	f hone	hmad	lenet	h .	1	ent*	_	90	sent"	-
Organic L Category 8	Ltter		Sco Dominate native or	d by	Dominated non-native	by <	50% c	f bend	hmark	lengt	n		3			2	
			litter		organic litte	5.9	rge logs										e dbh
	enchmark cover	10	0		0		present absent										
	<ul> <li>150% of benchm</li> <li>150% of benchm</li> </ul>		5		2		apoent	1497	Ad an	900.19			Den Dit	1	.gg		
						e Conte	xt S	core	2						Γ	0	7
Patch Size	-	5	core				stanc		Core			-	Scon	_	[	0	
Category 8	e k Description	5			1	Di			Core	ore Ar signific	ea not cantly		Score /	Агеа	_ [	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha	& Description	5			) 1 2	Dis	stanc		Core	ore Ar signific distur	ea not cantly bed*		Core / signific disturt	Area antly bed*		0	
Category 8	& Description	5			)	Dis > 5	stanc tance km		Core	ore Ar signific distur 0	ea not cantly bed*		Core ) signific disturt 0	Area antly bed*	_	0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a	A Description	5			) 1 2	Dis Dis > 5 1 to	stance km 5 km		Core	ore Ar signific distur 0 2	ea not cantly bed*		Core J signific disturt 0 1	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10	A Description				) 1 2 4	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1	stance km 5 km km	e to	Core	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4	ea not cantly bed*		Core ) signific disturt 0 1 3	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly	urbed'* disturbed'*	core		) 1 2 4 6 8 10	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	stance km 5 km km tiguous	e to	Core	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5	ea not canthy bed*	-	Core J signific disturt 0 1	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category & < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * 'significanthy	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10	i <b>core</b> Nd Growth' a		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	stance km 5 km km	e to	Core	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5	ea not canthy bed*	-	Core ) signific disturt 0 1 3	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category & < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * 'significanthy	k Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA '0 most patches	i <b>core</b> Nd Growth' a		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	stance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R	Core	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5 Growt	ea not canthy bed*	ses.	Core J signific disturi 0 1 3 4	Area cantly bed*		0	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 3	k Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches S Weigl	Nd Growth' a swithin frage core		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	stance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R	Corre Corre	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not canthy bed*	ses.	Core J signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	Area antly bed*	ndsconte	xt	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but services, grazie Neighbout Radius from site 100 m	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined i ng etc. – effectively i whood % Native	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches So Weigi 0.0	No Growth' a within frage core hting		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	stance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R	Corre Corre	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core J signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	Area antly bed*	ndsc	ext	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a 2 20 ha, but 2 2 0 ha 2 1 0 0 m 1 km	A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined i ng etc. – effectively i whood % Native	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA '0 most patches S Weigi 0.0	Nd Growth' a swithin frage core hting 13 )4		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 corri- • d	stance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R F	Corre Corre	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core J signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	Area antly bed*	ndso onte Scon	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but services, grazie Neighbout Radius from site 100 m	k Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t tot 'significantly g disturbed' defined i ng etc. – effectively rhood % Native vegetation	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches <b>S</b> <b>Weig</b> 0.0 0.0	Nd Growth' a swithin frage core hting 13 14 13		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 corri- • d	tance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R F	Corre Corre MA 'Old in a	ore Ar signific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not santly bed* h' analy a bit	ses. tat	Core J signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	ore 'La C	ndso onte Scon	e'	- Internet
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 3 20 ha, but 2 2 0 ha 2 0 h	bescription     sha     and 5 ha     and 10 ha     and 20 ha     triggrificantly dist     toot 'significantly     visturbed' defined     ng etc. – effectively     vegetation     vegetation     subtract 2 if the	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches S Weigi 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Ad Growth's within frage core hting 13 04 03 thood is d' wes and		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 com	tance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R F	Corre Corre MA 'Old in a	ore Ar signific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not santly bed* h' analy a bit	ses. tat	Core ) isignifici disturt 0 1 3 4 <b>Scc</b>	ore 'La C	ndso onte Scon	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but 2 20 ha, but * 'significanth; coupes, grazi Neighbou Radius from site 100 m 1 km 5 km	bescription     and 5 ha     and 10 ha     and 20 ha     triggnificantly dist     trot 'significantly     disturbed' defined a     ng etc. – effectively i      rhood     % Native     vegetation*     subtract 2 if the     'significantly	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches SI Weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Ad Growth's within frage core hting 13 04 03 thood is d' wes and		) 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading,	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 corri- • d	stance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R	Core C	ore Ar signific distur 0 2 4 5 5 Growt I H	ea not antly bed*	ses.	Core J signific disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	Area antly bed*	ndsc	xt	-
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 2 2 ha, but 2 2 ha, but	bescription     and 5 ha     and 10 ha     and 20 ha     triggnificantly dist     trot 'significantly     disturbed' defined a     ng etc. – effectively i      rhood     % Native     vegetation*     subtract 2 if the     'significantly	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches S Weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Ad Growth a within frage core hting 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	analyses and the second	/ 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading, landscapes.	Dis Dis > 5 1 to < 1 corri- • d	tance km 5 km km tiguous	s per R F	Corre Corre MA 'Old in a	ore Ar signific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growti I H	ea not santly bed* h' analy a bit	ses. tat	Core ) isignifici disturt 0 1 3 4 <b>Scc</b>	Area antly bed* 'La C	ndso onte Scon	e'	
Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 5 a Between 10 2 20 ha, but 2 2 2 ha, but 2 2 ha, but	bescription     bescription     and 5 ha     and 10 ha     and 20 ha     traignificantly dist     trot 'significantly     visurbed' defined a     ng etc. – effectively i      rhood     % Native     vegetation     subtract 2 if the     'significant      byb vegetation x We     00 = 1.2); then add	urbed** disturbed** as per RFA 10 most patches S Weigh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Ad Growth a within frage core hting 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	analyses and the second	/ 1 2 4 6 8 10 eg. roading, landscapes.	Dis 55 1 to 41 0000 • 0	stance km S km km tiguous efined a	s per R F 'Site Javo Adours	Correction of March 100 States	orre Arr signific distur 0 2 4 5 Growti IIII Aurtsapun 5	ea not santly bed* h' analy a bit	ses.	Core ) isignific disturi 0 1 3 4 SCC SCC	Area antly bed* 'La C	ndsc onte Scorr poortunoquipiavi	e'	

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegetati	ion Qu			ssessmer ober 2004	nt Sheet	-	Sustaina	rtment o bility an ironmen
G.			. 60	ORGEN	Date	9/9/2	1 .	
ite Name/No.		U	ocation		Date			**
ssessor(s)		м	lap Name/No.		AMG / MO	5A		
	FVC	55	(PGU	J)	Bioregion	(MPP	Mai-	2
enure	EVC		anakedani ana	Louise	bioregion	and some fight and		
		- <u>'Si</u>	te Condi	tion Score'				
IXCT.								
arge Trees	Se	ore	9	Understore	ey Life form	IS		
argemees		6 Canopy I	daal/h.f		# spp	% cover		0
Category & Description	> 709	1.		LF Code from EVC	observed /	observed /	Present	Modified
				benchmark	Benchmark	Benchmark % cover	(*)	(*)
None present	0	0	0		spp.	AD COARL		
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number	of 3	2	1		1	1	0.1	
large trees/ha	1.5259				1.	ION	en	
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	3	2		1	0/4		
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark	6	5	4		.100	00 1		
number of large trees/ha	0	5	4		6 9	/	05	
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	8	~	6	_P	1	aNPT	5	Л
> the benchmark number of large trees/ha	10	0	8		h	MA	Ed	1-
* Estimate proportion of an expected health (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline	e, or mistleto	e infestation	),		p ,			
ree Canopy Cover	Se	core	4		/	1		
Colores & Description	9	6 Canopy H	tealth *		For life forms wit 'present' if	h benchmark corve	er of < 10%, co	nsidered
Category & Description	> 709	6 30-709	6 < 30%	Present	any specimens			
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0	0		'present' if	h benchmark corve		
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cove	7 3	à	1			ccupies at least 10 h benchmark corve		
$\geq 50\%~or \leq 150\%~of$ benchmark cover		6	3		substantially 'mo	dified' if the life for	rm has either:	sh considered
Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree height - see EVC benchmark description.	species read	ching $\ge 80\%$	of mature	Modified		benchmark specie ely-mature specim		red.
* Estimate proportion of an expected health				(apply only where life	For life forms with	h benchmark cove dified' if the life for	r of ≥ 10%, th	en considered
(i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline	e, or mistleto	e infestation	i).	form is	+ < 50% of ben	chmark cover; or		
				'present')	<ul> <li>&lt; 50% of ben</li> <li>&gt; 50% of ben</li> </ul>	chmark species div chmark cover due	versity; or larcely to imm	ature canon
ack of Weeds	Scon	e	9		specimens but	the cover of repre- te benchmark cover	oductively-mate	
		threat' we	eds"			- Senarrierk COVE		
Category & Description		< 50%	> 50%	Understore	W		Score	0
> 50% cover of weeds	None 4	s 30%	> 50%	Category &		N	Score	10
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	6	4		Life forms effect	tiunly about		-
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11 '	Ô	7		life forms prese			0
< 5% cover of weeds**	15	43	11	-			and a rost	5
* proportion of weed cover due to 'high threat	t' weeds - se	e EVC bench	mark for guide.	2 50% to 90% present	or the forms	<ul> <li>of those pres substantially</li> </ul>	modified	10
"High threat' weed species are defined as the non-indigenous 'natives') with the ability to o reduce one or more indigenous life forms in	out-compete	and substan	tially			<ul> <li>of those pires substantially</li> </ul>		15
				- PARK - FILM-				

current site characteristics and disturbance regime. The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their 'invesiveness' and 'impact'. In general, those weed species considered to have a *high impact* are considered *high threat* regardless of their invesiveness.

\*\* if total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.





15

20

25

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

### Vegetation Quality Field Assessment Sheet

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Distance to Core Area Total

100

Category 8	Description		High		w	oody	specie	s rec	orded	l in hi	abitat	zone		Rei	
	within EVC not d	riven by episodic		0	-	calypt									
No evidence of a recruitment	within EVC	dear evidence appropriate episodic event	oF 0	0											
'cohort'*	driven by episodic events^	no clear evidence of appropriate episodic event	5	5											
at least one	native woody species present	< 30% 30 - 70%	3	1 3	-										
least one life-form	adequate recruitment <sup>o</sup>	≥ 70%	10	5	nu	mber a	woody	spp. i	EVC b	enchr	ark (S	5 and t	aler)	-	-
<ul> <li>refer to EW</li> <li>reat multiple</li> </ul>	ers to a group of woo essed canopy specie: C benchmark for clar ie eucalypt canopy sy by defined as $\geq 50\%$	5 individuals). ification. pécies as one spec	es.		Log	gs itegor	v & D	escrit	tion			e logs	Sco	Lan	
				4	-	10% 0				6		sent*		ab	196
Organic L	itter	0	Score	Duringhan	± .	50% o			0.07	0		3			
Category 8	k Description		ve organic	Dominated by non-native	Y	50% o				2.1		5			1
< 10% of b	enchmark cover		0	0								benche benche			
< 50% ar >	150% of benchm 150% of benchma		3 5	Ż		absent	r large i	og ien	gitn is 4		UI EVU	and the second	nark io	g teng	101
< 50% ar >	150% of benchma		5 <u>'La</u>	ndscape	Conte		core	Ľ				Score		g xeng	
< 50% or > 2 50% or s Patch Siz Category 8	150% of benchma	ark cover	5 - <u>'La</u>	ndscape	<u>Conte</u> Dis	<u>xt S</u>	core	Core	Are	ea not antty			e Area	[	5
< 50% or > 2 50% or s Patch Siz	150% of benchme e & Description	ark cover	5 - <u>'La</u>	-0-	Conte Dis	xt S tanc	core	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt	ea not antiy bed*		<b>Scon</b> Core <i>i</i> signific disturi	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or > ≥ 50% or ≤ 	e k Description	ark cover	5 - <u>'La</u>	ndscape	Conte Dis Dist > 5	<mark>xt S</mark> stanc ance	core	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt 0	ea not antty bed*		Score Core i signific disturi 0	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 d	e k Description	ark cover	5 - <u>'La</u>		Dist Dist > 5 1 to	<b>xt S</b> tance ance km 5 km	core	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt 0 2	ea not antly bed*		Score Core a signific disturi 0 1	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or > > 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 Between 10	e k Description	scon	5 - <u>'La</u>	ndscape	Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1	<mark>xt S</mark> ance km 5 km km	core e to	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturt 0 2 4	ea not antly bed*		Score Signific disturi 0 1 3	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or > > 50% or ≤ > 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly	ark cover Score	5 <u>'La</u> , [	1 2 4 6 8 10	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	<b>xt S</b> tance ance km 5 km	core e to	Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturi 0 2 4 5	ea not antty bed*	1	Score Core a signific disturi 0 1	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but * 'significanth'	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist	ark cover Score	5 - 'La , [	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	km 5 km iguous	core e to	Core Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth	h <b>a</b> ea not antly bed* h' analy	ses.	Score Signific disturi 0 1 3 4	e Area antly bed*		
< 50% or > > 50% or s > 50% or s Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 10 > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but * 'significant/ coupes, grazie	e A Description and S ha and 20 ha trisignificantly dist trot 'significantly v disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i	ark cover Score	5 • <u>'La</u> • [	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	km 5 km iguous	core e to	Core Core	e Are ore Are ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth	h <b>a</b> ea not antly bed* h' analy	ses.	Score Signific disturi 0 1 3	e Area antly bed*	[	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Setween 10 ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but > significantly coupes, gradie Neighbout Radius from site	e A Description and S ha and 20 ha trisignificantly dist trot 'significantly v disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gr most patches with Score Weighting	5 · La · La · ·	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	km 5 km iguous	core e to	Core C	e Are ore Arre ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed* h' analy <b>a b i</b>	ses.	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lar Co	[	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Detween 2 a Between	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i rhood % Native	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gr most patches with Score Weighting 0,03	5 · La · La · ·	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	km 5 km iguous	core e to s per RF	Core C	e Are ore Arre ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed* h' analy <b>a b i</b>	ses.	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lar Co	[	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Setween 10 ≥ 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but Setween 10 a ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 10 ha, but	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i rhood % Native	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gr most patches with Score Weighting 0,03 0,04	5 · La · La · ·	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont * de	km 5 km iguous	core e to s per RF Fi 'Site	Core C	e Are ore Arre ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed* h' analy <b>a b i</b>	ses.	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lar Co	[	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 4 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Detween 2 a Between	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t'significantly dist is is toot 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively is rhood % Native vegetation	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gr most patches with Score Weighting 0.03 0.04 0.03	5	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont * de	ance km 5 km fined a	core e to s per RF Fi 'Site	Core Core A 'OH	e Are ore Ar ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I Hi iditic	ea not antiy bed* a b i on Se	ses. tat	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lar Co	ndsc	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Setween 10 ≥ 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but Setween 10 a ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 10 ha, but	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gr most patches with Score Weighting 0,03 0,04	5	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont * de	ance km 5 km fined a	core e to s per RF Fi 'Site	Core Core A 'OH	e Are ore Ar ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I Hi iditic	ea not antiy bed* a b i on Se	ses. tat	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lai Ci	ndsc	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Setween 10 ≥ 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but Setween 10 a ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 10 ha, but	e A Description and 5 ha and 10 ha and 20 ha t 'significantly dist t not 'significantly y disturbed' defined a ng etc. – effectively i rhood % Native vegetation subtract 2 if the	ark cover Score urbed* disturbed* as per RFA '0/d Gn most patches with Score Weighting 0.03 0.04 0.03 e neighbourhood	5	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont	km 5 km iguous	core e to s per RF	Core C	e Are ore Arre ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I H:	ea not antly bed* h' analy <b>a b i</b>	ses.	Scorn signific disturi 0 1 3 3 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lar Co	[	
< 50% or ≥ ≥ 50% or ≤ Patch Siz Category 8 < 2 ha Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Between 2 a Setween 10 ≥ 20 ha, but > 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 20 ha, but Setween 10 a ≥ 20 ha, but ≥ 10 ha, but	e e b b b c c b b b b b b b b b b b b b	ark cover Score Urbed* disturbed* as per RFA 'Old Gin most patches withi Score Weighting 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 e neighbourhood thy disturbed' Add Values a	5	1 2 4 6 8 10 es eg. roading,	Conte Dist Dist > 5 1 to < 1 cont * de	ance km 5 km fined a	core e to s per RF Fi 'Site	Core Core A 'OH	e Are ore Ar ignific disturi 0 2 4 5 Growth I Hi iditic	ea not antiy bed* a b i on Se	ses. tat	Scorn Core / iginifici disturt 0 1 3 4 4 SCC	e Area antly bed* Ore 'Lai Ci	ndsc	

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

Vegetation	Quality	Field	Assessment	Sheet
------------	---------	-------	------------	-------

Map Name/No.

Version 1.3 - October 2004 (Hz's H, I, J, K) Location WOORGEN

Department of Sustainability and Environment

Site Name/No.	WORCEN
---------------	--------

Assessor(s) JS -

Tenure

Large Trees

'Cito	Condition	Scoro
ane	CONCLUDE	acure

Underst	0	Score	
LF Code	lealth*	% Canopy	
			_

Category & Description	% Canopy Health*			
category a Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%	
None present	0	0	0	
> 0 to 20% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	3	2	1	
> 20% to 40% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	4	3	2	
> 40% to 70% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	6	5	4	
> 70% to 100% of the benchmark number of large trees/ha	8	7	6	
≥ the benchmark number of large	10	9	8	

EVC ... 83

Large trees are defined by diameter at breast height (dbh) - see EVC benchmark.

Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistietoe infestation).

ree Canopy Cover	Sco	re	0
	% (	anopy Hea	* 10%
Category & Description	> 70%	30-70%	< 30%
< 10% of benchmark cover	0	0	0
< 50% or > 150% of benchmark cover	3	2	1
≥ 50% or ≤ 150% of benchmark cover	5	4	3

Tree canopy is defined as those canopy tree species reaching  $\gtrsim 80\%$  of mature height - see EVC benchmark description.

\* Estimate proportion of an expected healthy canopy cover that is present (i.e. not missing due to tree death or decline, or mistletoe infestation).

ack of Weeds	Sco	ore	6
	7xig	ph threat' wee	eds*
Category & Description	None	s 50%	> 50%
> 50% cover of weeds	4	2	0
25 - 50% cover of weeds	7	0	4
5 - 25% cover of weeds	11	9	7
< 5% cover of weeds**	15	13	11

\* proportion of wood cover due to 'high threat' weeds - see EVC benchmark for guide. High threat' weed species are defined as these introduced species (including non-incigenous 'natives') with the ability to out-compete and substantially reduce one or more indigenous life forms in the longer term assuming on-going current site characteristics and disturbance regime.

The EVC benchmark lists typical weed species for the EVC in the bioregion and provides an estimate of their "invasiveness" and "impact". In general, those weed species considered to have a high impact are considered high threat regardless.

of their invasiveness. \*\* if total weed cover is negligible (<1%) and high threat weed species are present then score '13'.

LF Code from EVC benchmark	# spp observed / Benchmark spp.	% cover observed / Benchmark % cover	Present (*)	Modified (*)
17	. 1	1		
T	1	/		
n	1	1		
m	1	1		
Pr	1	1		
14	1	1		
ANH	1	1		
CH	. /	1	1.1	
(TC	113	301 15	V	
ING	1	/		
MTG	115	20/10	V	
MUC-	1	1		
CF	1	1		
SC	1	/		
BL	1	/		
1	1	1		
Present	'present' if • any specimens For life forms with 'present' if • the life form of	h benchmark cover ccupies at least 10	r of ≥ 10%, co % of benchma	nsidered irk cover.
Modified (apply only where life form is 'present')	substantially 'more • < 50% of the • no reproductive For life forms with substantially 'more • < 50% of ben • < 50% of ben • ≥ 50% of ben specimens but	h benchmark cover dified if the life for benchmark species ely-mature specim h benchmark cover dified if the life for chmark cover; or chmark species div chmark species di chmark species div chmark speci	m has either: a diversity; or ens are observ r of ≥ 10%, th m has either: ersity; or largely to imm ductively-mate	red. en considered ature canopy

Date 9/9/21

AMG / MGA

Bioregion .

.....

Category & Description		
All strata and Life forms effect	tively absent	0
Up to 50% of life forms prese	ent	5
$\ge 50\%$ to 90% of Life forms present	<ul> <li>of those present, ≥ 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	10
	<ul> <li>of those present, &lt; 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	15
$\geq 90\%$ of Life forms present	<ul> <li>of those present, ≥ 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	15
	<ul> <li>of those present, &lt; 50% substantially modified</li> </ul>	20
	<ul> <li>of those present, none substantially modified</li> </ul>	25

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

## **ADVERTISED PLAN**

Victoria The Place To Be

#### **Document prepared by**

#### Aurecon Australasia Pty Ltd

ABN 54 005 139 873 Aurecon Centre Level 8, 850 Collins Street Docklands, Melbourne VIC 3008 PO Box 23061 Docklands VIC 8012 Australia

T +61 3 9975 3000
 F +61 3 9975 3444
 E melbourne@aurecongroup.com
 W aurecongroup.com

This copied document to be made available for the sole purpose of enabling its consideration and review as part of a planning process under the Planning and Environment Act 1987. The document must not be used for any purpose which may breach any convright

